

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| (51) International Patent Classification 6 :<br><b>G01N 21/64, 33/52, 33/53, 33/533,<br/>33/536, 33/566, 33/68</b>  |  | A1   | (11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 98/05944</b><br>(43) International Publication Date: <b>12 February 1998 (12.02.98)</b> |
| (21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/US97/13529</b>  |  | (74) Agents: <b>HUNTER, Tom et al.; Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP, 8th floor, Two Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, CA 94111 (US).</b> |  |
| (22) International Filing Date: <b>1 August 1997 (01.08.97)</b>   |  | (81) Designated States: <b>CA, JP, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</b>             |  |
| (30) Priority Data:<br><b>60/023,217 2 August 1996 (02.08.96)</b>   |  | US   | <b>Published</b><br><i>With international search report.</i>   |
| (60) Parent Application or Grant<br>(63) Related by Continuation<br>US<br>Filed on  |  | Not furnished (CIP)<br>Not furnished   |  |
| (71) Applicant ( <i>for all designated States except US</i> ): <b>THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA [US/US]; 22nd floor, 300 Lakeside Drive, Oakland, CA 94612 (US).</b>  |  |  |  |
| (72) Inventors; and<br>(75) Inventors/Applicants ( <i>for US only</i> ): <b>LAGARIAS, John, Clark [US/US]; 1853 Imperial Avenue, Davis, CA 95616 (US). MURPHY, John, Thomas [US/US]; 4000 Cowell Boulevard, Davis, CA 95616 (US).</b> |  |  |  |

(54) Title: **PHYTOFLUORS AS FLUORESCENT LABELS**

## (57) Abstract

This invention provides new fluorescent molecules useful for detection of target entities. In particular, it relates to fluorescent adducts comprising an apoprotein and a bilin.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

|    |                          |    |                                       |    |   |    |                          |
|----|--------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|----|---|----|--------------------------|
| AL | Albania                  | ES | Spain                                 | LS | Lesotho                                   | SI | Slovenia                 |
| AM | Armenia                  | FI | Finland                               | LT | Lithuania                                 | SK | Slovakia                 |
| AT | Austria                  | FR | France                                | LU | Luxembourg                                | SN | Senegal                  |
| AU | Australia                | GA | Gabon                                 | LV | Latvia                                    | SZ | Swaziland                |
| AZ | Azerbaijan               | GB | United Kingdom                        | MC | Monaco                                    | TD | Chad                     |
| BA | Bosnia and Herzegovina   | GE | Georgia                               | MD | Republic of Moldova                       | TG | Togo                     |
| BB | Barbados                 | GH | Ghana                                 | MG | Madagascar                                | TJ | Tajikistan               |
| BE | Belgium                  | GN | Guinea                                | MK | The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | TM | Turkmenistan             |
| BF | Burkina Faso             | GR | Greece                                | ML | Mali                                      | TR | Turkey                   |
| BG | Bulgaria                 | HU | Hungary                               | MN | Mongolia                                  | TT | Trinidad and Tobago      |
| BJ | Benin                    | IE | Ireland                               | MR | Mauritania                                | UA | Ukraine                  |
| BR | Brazil                   | IL | Israel                                | MW | Malawi                                    | UG | Uganda                   |
| BY | Belarus                  | IS | Iceland                               | MX | Mexico                                    | US | United States of America |
| CA | Canada                   | IT | Italy                                 | NE | Niger                                     | UZ | Uzbekistan               |
| CF | Central African Republic | JP | Japan                                 | NL | Netherlands                               | VN | Viet Nam                 |
| CG | Congo                    | KE | Kenya                                 | NO | Norway                                    | YU | Yugoslavia               |
| CH | Switzerland              | KG | Kyrgyzstan                            | NZ | New Zealand                               | ZW | Zimbabwe                 |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire            | KP | Democratic People's Republic of Korea | PL | Poland                                    |    |                          |
| CM | Cameroon                 | KR | Republic of Korea                     | PT | Portugal                                  |    |                          |
| CN | China                    | KZ | Kazakhstan                            | RO | Romania                                   |    |                          |
| CU | Cuba                     | LC | Saint Lucia                           | RU | Russian Federation                        |    |                          |
| CZ | Czech Republic           | LI | Liechtenstein                         | SD | Sudan                                     |    |                          |
| DE | Germany                  | LK | Sri Lanka                             | SE | Sweden                                    |    |                          |
| DK | Denmark                  | LR | Liberia                               | SG | Singapore                                 |    |                          |

## PHYTOFLUORS AS FLUORESCENT LABELS

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

5 This is a continuation-in-part of USSN 60/023,217, filed on August 2, 1996, which is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes.

This work was supported by a grant (MCB 9206110) from the National Science Foundation, a grant (GAM9503140) from the US Department of Agriculture, and by NIH training grant (5 T32 GM07377-17). The Government of the United States of America  
10 may have certain rights in the invention.

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to new fluorescent molecules useful for detection of target entities. In particular, it relates to fluorescent adducts comprising an apoprotein and a bilin.

15 **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

The phytochromes comprise a family of biliprotein photoreceptors which enable plants to adapt to their prevailing light environment (Kendrick and Kronenberg (1994) Kendrick, Pp. 828 in *Photomorphogenesis in Plants*, Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers). All phytochromes possess the ability to efficiently  
20 photointerconvert between red light absorbing Pr and far red light absorbing Pfr forms, a property conferred by covalent association of a linear tetrapyrrole (or bilin) with a large apoprotein. Phytochromes from cyanobacteria, to green algae and higher plants consist of a well conserved N-terminal polypeptide, roughly 390-600 amino acids in length (see Figure 6), to which the bilin prosthetic group phytochromobilin (PΦB) or phycocyanobilin (PCB) is  
25 bound.

The N-terminal domain of the phytochrome apoprotein is sufficient for spontaneous covalent attachment of ethylidene containing linear tetrapyrroles, a process requiring neither cofactors nor additional enzymes (Li *et al.* (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.*, 267: 19204-19210). In higher plants, PΦB is bound to a conserved cysteine residue within the  
30 phytochrome apoprotein via a linkage identical to that found in the phycobiliprotein photosynthetic antennae of cyanobacteria, red algae and cryptomonads. The ability of the phytochrome photoreceptor to self assemble with its bilin prosthetic group contrasts with the

phycobiliprotein photoreceptors which require separate enzymes for proper bilin attachment (Glazer (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.*, 264: 1-4). Owing to the efficient photointerconversion between Pr and Pfr forms, phytochromes are poorly fluorescent molecules, unlike the phycobiliproteins which are intensely fluorescent and have been exploited as useful probes

5 (see, e.g., US Patents 4,857,474, and 4,520,110).

Fluorescent markers have found uses in molecular biology as labels for nucleic acid probes, antibodies, and other specific binding ligands in the detection of particular target moieties (e.g., particular nucleic acid sequences, receptors, etc.). Labeled binding molecules are used both *in vitro* and *in vivo* as diagnostic indicators and as research tools. Consequently 10 there has been considerable interest and research on the development of fluorescent indicators.

Typically biological macromolecules (e.g., proteins or oligonucleotides) are labeled with a fluorescent marker (e.g., fluorescein, rhodamine, umbelliferone, and lanthanide chelates) either directly through a covalent linkage (e.g., a carbon linker), or indirectly 15 whereby the macromolecule is bound to a molecule such as biotin or dioxigenin, which, is subsequently coupled to a fluorescently labeled macromolecular binding moiety (e.g., streptavidin or a labeled monoclonal antibody). Fluorescein and rhodamine are among the most commonly used fluorophore since they are readily available in an activated form for direct coupling to antigens or antibodies. Both fluorescein and rhodamines show good 20 chemical stability and have a proven record in actual use as labels. However, macromolecules labeled with these fluorophores suffer from chemical quenching of fluorescence, and it is difficult to control the labeling of discrete sites within the macromolecule.

These fluorescent labeling systems also suffer the disadvantage that the 25 fluorescent complexes and/or their binding moieties are relatively large, and must be prepared and supplied from an exogenous source because most organisms are not capable of synthesizing these molecules. In addition, these molecules are often toxic to the subject organism.

With only one exception, the Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) from the 30 jellyfish *Aequorea victoria* (U.S. Patent 5,491,084), the ability to synthesize a fully functional fluorescent macromolecule has been restricted to the host organism in which the protein naturally occurs. Because the nucleic acid encoding GFP can be cloned into a cell and expressed to yield a non-fluorescent protein precursor that spontaneously assembles its own

fluorophore, GFP has gained widespread utility as a selectable marker and a probe of cellular events (Cubitt *et al.* (1995) *Trends In Biochem. Sci.* 20, 448-455). From many attempts to improve the properties of GFP through genetic engineering, it is clear that there is a finite spectral window within which GFP is useful as a fluorescent marker. The development of 5 additional protein-based fluorescent markers that can be functionally expressed in various cell types by standard genetic engineering techniques with an extended fluorescence wavelength range, and a variety of useful biochemical properties is desirable.

A recent development in the field of fluorescent labeling has been the use of phycobiliprotein conjugates. Phycobiliproteins are a class of highly fluorescent proteins that 10 form a part of the light-harvesting system in the photosynthetic apparatus of bluegreen bacteria and of two groups of eukaryotic algae, red algae and the cryptomonads. A particularly useful variation of their use comprises preparation of a phycobiliprotein tandem conjugate with a large Stokes shift. An example of such a conjugate is the covalent attachment of the phycobiliproteins, phycoerythrin and allophycocyanin. The resulting 15 tandem conjugate has a large Stokes shift with an emission maximum at 660 nm and an excitation waveband that starts at about 440 nm. However, production of such tandem complexes requires human intervention in the formation of a covalent or other chemical bond between the two components, therefore increasing the complexity of the production of the final conjugate.

20 Despite these advances, the art fails to provide fluorescent markers that can be easily produced and readily engineered to provide strong fluorescent signals over a wide range of wavelengths. The present invention addresses these and other needs.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention provides a new class of fluorescent protein adducts (phycobilin 25 conjugates) that are generally suitable for use as fluorescent markers. Owing to their long wavelength absorption maxima, their high molar absorption coefficients and the ability of recombinant phytochrome apoproteins to spontaneously assemble with a variety of bilin chromophore precursors, the phytochromes are potentially ideal fluorescent markers.

Phytochromes perform a key role as light sensors in most photosynthetic 30 organisms, via photoisomerization of the covalently bound phytochromobilin or phycocyanobilin prosthetic group which induces a protein conformational change and subsequent signal transduction cascade. The adduct between recombinant apophytochrome and phycoerythrobilin (PEB), the natural chromophore precursor of phycoerythrin, is highly

fluorescent because it lacks the double bond required for photoisomerization. This invention demonstrates that fluorescent apophytochrome-bilin conjugates (e.g., apophytochrome-PEB adducts), which are referred to herein as the "phytofluors", are intensely fluorescent, photostable proteins useful as probes for biological research.

5        In a preferred embodiment, the fluorescent adducts (i.e., phytofluors) of this invention comprise a protein component (an apoprotein) and a nitrogen heterocyclic compound (e.g., a polypyrrole). In a preferred embodiment the nitrogen heterocycle is a dipyrrole, tripyrrole, tetrapyrrole, or analogues thereof, with linear tetrapyrroles and analogues thereof being most preferred. In some embodiments, higher order pyrroles and  
10      their analogues can also be used. One particularly preferred bilin is phycoerythrobilin (PEB). The apoprotein is preferably an apophytochrome or analogue thereof. Preferred analogues are recognized by and thus comprise the consensus sequence of Figure 6. The apoprotein can be derived from vascular and non-vascular plants, green alga, bacteria or cyanobacteria, or can be chemically synthesized *de novo*. Thus, preferred apoproteins are encoded by plant  
15      genes, algal genes, bacterial genes, or cyanobacterial genes. Particularly preferred apoproteins include any of the apoproteins described herein or those listed in the sequence listing or conservative substitutions of these sequences, while most preferred apoproteins include apoproteins from plants (e.g., oats with an apoprotein having about 1100 amino acid residues), green algae (e.g., *Mesotaenium caldarium*), or cyanobacteria (as illustrated in the  
20      sequence listing), or related, proteins having conservative substitutions. Truncated apoproteins consisting of a chromophore domain; the apoprotein N-terminal subsequence sufficient for lysase activity are particularly preferred. One preferred N-terminal subsequence consists of less than about 600 N-terminal amino acids, more preferably less than about 515 N-terminal amino acids, and most preferably less than about 400 N-terminal amino acids.  
25

      In one preferred embodiment, this invention provides for a moiety that is labeled with one or more of the fluorescent adducts of this invention. The fluorescent adduct is attached covalently, or non-covalently, directly, or through a linker to a moiety that is to be labeled. The moiety can be virtually any composition, including for example, a biological molecule (biomolecule), an organelle, a cell, a tissue, virtually any naturally occurring natural  
30      or synthetic material that is chemically compatible with the fluorescent adduct, and even an article of manufacture. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the fluorescent adducts of this invention are attached to biological molecules including, but not limited to proteins, carbohydrates, lipids, and nucleic acids. Particularly preferred biological molecules are

members of binding pairs (binding partners) that specifically bind to a target molecule. Preferred members of binding pairs include antibodies, nucleic acids, lectins, enzymes, ligands, receptors, and the like.

The fluorescent adduct can be joined to the moiety to be labeled either by attachment to the bilin or by attachment to the apoprotein, with attachment to the apoprotein being most preferred. The apoprotein can be chemically conjugated to the subject (labeled) molecule or, where the subject moiety is a protein or contains a protein component, the apoprotein can be fused to the amino or carboxyl terminus of the protein or protein component through a peptide bond thereby forming a fusion protein. The fusion protein can also be a recombinantly expressed fusion protein. Alternatively, the apoprotein can be joined to the protein or protein component of the subject moiety through linkages between side chains (e.g., a disulfide linkage between cysteines).

This invention also provides methods of use for the above-described fluorescent adducts and for the compositions comprising a moiety joined to any of the fluorescent adducts described above or herein. Thus, for example, in one embodiment, this invention provides for a method of testing the presence of a biomolecule in a sample. The method involves providing a sample comprising a biomolecule linked to a fluorescent adduct consisting of an apoprotein and a bilin chromophore and contacting the sample with light which causes the fluorescent adduct to emit light, and detecting the emitted light thereby detecting the presence of the biomolecule. In one particularly preferred embodiment, the sample is contacted with light having a wavelength of about 570 nm. The step of detecting the emitted light may include detecting light having a wavelength of about 590 nm. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the biomolecule is one or more of any of the above-identified biomolecules.

This invention also provides methods of expressing and detecting a selectable marker. These methods include providing a nucleic acid that encodes a protein of interest and any of the apoproteins described above and herein. The expressed apoprotein is contacted with a bilin, more preferably one of the bilins described above or herein to form a fluorescent adduct. Finally, the fluorescent adduct is contacted with light which causes the fluorescent adduct to fluoresce emitting light which is then detected thereby indicating the presence of the selectable marker.

In still yet another embodiment, this invention provides a method of detecting and/or quantifying protein-protein interactions. The two subject proteins are expressed in

fusion with or conjugated to an apoprotein. The apoproteins are selected such that, when combined with their respective bilins, they form a first and a second fluorescent adduct, respectively. The first adduct fluoresces at a wavelength absorbed by the second adduct which then emits at a different wavelength. Exposure of the proteins with light causes the 5 first fluorescent adduct to emit light that is transferred to the second fluorescent adduct which then emits light at a different wavelength thereby indicating that the two proteins are in close proximity. This invention also provides for numerous other variants of this assay which are disclosed herein.

## DEFINITIONS

10 The term "fluorescent adduct" refers to a fluorescent molecule (*i.e.*, one capable of absorbing light of one wavelength and emitting light of a second wavelength) comprising an "apoprotein" (also referred to as an apophytochrome) component joined to a "bilin" component, both of which are described below. The fluorescent phytochrome-bilin conjugates (*e.g.*, phytochrome-PEB adducts), are also referred to herein as "phytofluors".  
15 The manner in which the two components are joined to form an adduct is irrelevant to the present invention. Typically, the two components spontaneously form an adduct through covalent interactions. The components may also be deliberately linked through covalent bonds (*e.g.*, through the use of crosslinking reagents). The fluorescent adducts of this invention do not require pairing of an apoprotein with its corresponding native bilin. To the 20 contrary, the invention contemplates adducts consisting of naturally occurring or engineered apoproteins with bilins derived from different organisms, or with non-naturally occurring synthetic linear pyrroles.

The terms "apoprotein", "apophytochrome", or "apoprotein polypeptide", as used herein, refer to polypeptides derived from eukaryotes, such as vascular plants, non-25 vascular plants, and algae, or from prokaryotes, such as cyanobacteria. The term encompasses both naturally occurring apoproteins and variant polypeptides derived through mutagenesis. The apoproteins have a hydrophobic pocket, referred to as chromophore binding site, capable of forming an adduct with a bilin component. The apoproteins of the invention are typically homodimeric proteins about 1100 amino acids in length, each subunit 30 being composed of two major domains. The globular 70 kD N-terminal domain contains the hydrophobic pocket, while the more elongated 55 kD carboxyl terminal domain contains the sites at which the two subunits are associated. Apophytochromes can be readily identified by one of skill in the art by comparison of the polypeptide sequence in question with the

apophytochrome consensus sequence provided in Figure 6 using standard sequence comparison methodologies. For a general discussion of apoprotein structure and function, see, Quail *et al.* (1997) in *Plant Cell and Environment*, 20: 657-665.

The "bilin" components of the adducts of the invention are linear polypyroles (e.g., di-, tri-, or tetrapyrroles) capable of fluorescing when associated with an apoprotein. Typically, the bilin components of the invention are isolated from vascular plants, algae, or cyanobacteria according to standard techniques. The bilin components can also be synthesized *de novo*. For a general discussion of bilins useful in the present invention see, Falk (1989) Pp. 355-399 in: *The Chemistry of Linear Oligopyrroles and Bile Pigments*. pp 10 355-399. Springer-Verlag, Vienna.

The term "chromophore domain" or "minimal chromophore domain" refers to the apoprotein N-terminal subsequence sufficient for lyase activity; the ability to spontaneously assemble in the presence of a bilin to form a phytofluor. Chromophore domains typically comprise less than 600 amino acids of the N terminus of the apoprotein, 15 preferably less than about 515 amino acids, more preferably less than about 450 amino acids and most preferably less than about 400, 390, or even 350 N-terminal amino acids. One preferred chromophore domain comprises the 514 N-terminal amino acids of a cyanobacterial phytochrome.

The phrase "nucleic acid" refers to a single or double-stranded polymer of deoxyribonucleotide or ribonucleotide bases read from the 5' to the 3' end. It includes cDNA, 20 self-replicating plasmids, infectious polymers of DNA or RNA and non-functional DNA or RNA.

The term "subsequence" when referring to a nucleic acid refers to a nucleic acid sequence that comprises a part of a longer sequence of a nucleic acid, and when referring 25 to a peptide refers to an amino acid sequence that comprises part of a longer sequence of a peptide, polypeptide or protein.

Two nucleic acid sequences or polypeptides are said to be "identical" if the sequence of nucleotides or amino acid residues, respectively, in the two sequences is the same when aligned for maximum correspondence as described below. The term "complementary 30 to" is used herein to mean that the complementary sequence is identical to all or a portion of a reference polynucleotide sequence.

Sequence comparisons between two (or more) polynucleotides or polypeptides are typically performed by comparing sequences of the two sequences over a "comparison

5        "window" to identify and compare local regions of sequence similarity. A "comparison window", as used herein, refers to a segment of at least about 20 contiguous positions, usually about 50 to about 200, more usually about 100 to about 150 in which a sequence may be compared to a reference sequence of the same number of contiguous positions after the two sequences are optimally aligned.

Optimal alignment of sequences for comparison may be conducted by the local homology algorithm of Smith and Waterman *Adv. Appl. Math.* 2:482 (1981), by the homology alignment algorithm of Needleman and Wunsch *J. Mol. Biol.* 48:443 (1970), by the search for similarity method of Pearson and Lipman *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 85: 10 2444 (1988), by computerized implementations of these algorithms such as CLUSTALW, GAP, BESTFIT, BLAST, FASTA, and TFASTA (Wisconsin Genetics Software Package, Genetics Computer Group (GCG), 575 Science Dr., Madison, WI), or by inspection.

15        "Percentage of sequence identity" is determined by comparing two optimally aligned sequences over a comparison window, wherein the portion of the polynucleotide sequence in the comparison window may comprise additions or deletions (i.e., gaps) as compared to the reference sequence (which does not comprise additions or deletions) for optimal alignment of the two sequences. The percentage is calculated by determining the number of positions at which the identical nucleic acid base or amino acid occurs in both sequences to yield the number of matched positions, dividing the number of matched 20 positions by the total number of positions in the window of comparison and multiplying the result by 100 to yield the percentage of sequence identity.

The term "substantial identity" of polynucleotide sequences means that a polynucleotide comprises a sequence that has at least 60% sequence identity, preferably at least 80%, more preferably at least 90% and most preferably at least 95%, compared to a 25 reference sequence using the programs described above (preferably BLAST) using standard parameters. In one embodiment, 25% sequence identity over a window of 200 amino acids coupled with information regarding the apophytochrome consensus sequence is sufficient to identify a new apophytochrome. One of skill will recognize that these values can be appropriately adjusted to determine corresponding identity of proteins encoded by two 30 nucleotide sequences by taking into account codon degeneracy, amino acid similarity, reading frame positioning and the like. Substantial identity of amino acid sequences for these purposes normally means sequence identity of at least 40%, preferably at least 60%, more preferably at least 90%, and most preferably at least 95%. Polypeptides which are

"substantially similar" share sequences as noted above except that residue positions which are not identical may differ by conservative amino acid changes. Conservative amino acid substitutions refer to the interchangeability of residues having similar side chains. For example, a group of amino acids having aliphatic side chains is glycine, alanine, valine,

5 leucine, and isoleucine; a group of amino acids having aliphatic-hydroxyl side chains is serine and threonine; a group of amino acids having amide-containing side chains is asparagine and glutamine; a group of amino acids having aromatic side chains is phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan; a group of amino acids having basic side chains is lysine, arginine, and histidine; and a group of amino acids having sulfur-containing side chains is cysteine and methionine.

10 Preferred conservative amino acids substitution groups are: valine-leucine-isoleucine, phenylalanine-tyrosine, lysine-arginine, alanine-valine, and asparagine-glutamine.

Another indication that nucleotide sequences are substantially identical is if two nucleic acid molecules hybridize to each other, or to a third nucleic acid, under stringent conditions. Stringent conditions are sequence dependent and will be different in different circumstances. Generally, stringent conditions are selected to be about 5 C lower than the thermal melting point ( $T_m$ ) for the specific sequence at a defined ionic strength and pH. The  $T_m$  is the temperature (under defined ionic strength and pH) at which 50% of the target sequence hybridizes to a perfectly matched complementary nucleic acid sequence. Typically, stringent conditions will be those in which the salt concentration is about 0.02 molar at pH 7

15 and the temperature is at least about 60 C. Stringent conditions for a standard Southern hybridization will include at least one wash (usually 2) in 0.2X SSC at a temperature of at least about 50 C, usually about 55 C, for 20 minutes, or equivalent conditions.

The term conservative substitution is used herein to refer to replacement of amino acids in a protein with different amino acids that do not substantially change the functional properties of the protein. Thus, for example, a polar amino acid might be substituted for a polar amino acid, a non-polar amino acid for a non-polar amino acid, and so forth. The following six groups each contain amino acids that are conservative substitutions for one another:

- 1) Alanine (A), Serine (S), Threonine (T);
- 2) Aspartic acid (D), Glutamic acid (E);
- 3) Asparagine (N), Glutamine (Q);
- 4) Arginine (R), Lysine (K);
- 5) Isoleucine (I), Leucine (L), Methionine (M), Valine (V); and

6) Phenylalanine (F), Tyrosine (Y), Tryptophan (W).

A biological "binding partner" or a member of a binding pair refers to molecules that specifically bind other molecules to form a binding complex such as antibody-antigen, lectin-carbohydrate, nucleic acid-nucleic acid, biotin-avidin, etc.

5 The term "specifically binds", as used herein, when referring to a biomolecule (e.g., protein, nucleic acid, antibody, etc.), refers to a binding reaction which is determinative of the presence of a specific biomolecule within a heterogeneous population of proteins and/or other biologics. Thus, under designated conditions (e.g. immunoassay conditions in the case of an antibody), the specified ligand or antibody binds to its particular "target" 10 biomolecule (e.g. a receptor protein) and does not bind in a significant amount to other proteins or other biomolecules present in the sample, or to other proteins or other biomolecules with which the ligand or antibody may come in contact in an organism.

The term "antibody", as used herein, includes various forms of modified or altered antibodies. Such forms include, but are not limited to, an intact immunoglobulin, an 15 Fv fragment containing only the light and heavy chain variable regions, an Fv fragment linked by a disulfide bond (Brinkmann, et al. (1993) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 90: 547-551), an Fab or (Fab)<sub>2</sub> fragment containing the variable regions and parts of the constant regions, a single-chain antibody and the like (Bird et al. (1988) *Science* 242: 424-426; Huston et al. (1988) *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 85: 5879-5883). The antibody may be of animal 20 (especially hamster, mouse, rat, rabbit, pig, or goat) or human origin or may be chimeric (Morrison et al., *Proc Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 81: 6851-6855 (1984)) or humanized (Jones et al. (1986) *Nature* 321: 522-525, and published UK patent application No: 8707252). Methods of producing antibodies suitable for use in the present invention are well known to those skilled 25 in the art and can be found described in such publications as Harlow & Lane, *Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (1988), and Asai, *Methods in Cell Biology Vol. 37: Antibodies in Cell Biology*, Academic Press, Inc. N.Y. (1993).

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 shows the structure of three bilins useful in the present invention, phytochromobilin (PΦB), phycocyanobilin (PCB), and phycoerythrobilin (PEB) as bound to 30 the conserved cysteine residue in apophytochrome.

Figure 2 shows a schematic diagram of the pMacphyAST plasmid used for expression of Strep Tagged Phytochrome ASPHYAST in the yeast *S. cerevisiae*. The plasmid is composed of 13634 base pairs. Salient features of the plasmid are indicated: 2

micron is the yeast origin of replication, Leu2" allows rescue of leucine deficient auxotrophs, pBR322" is the origin of replication for *E. coli*, eno term. is the enolase transcription terminator, phytochrome cDNA (phyA) and Strep Tag are indicated, eno prom. is the enolase promoter, and Gal UAS is the upstream activating sequence of the galactose inducible promoter. The expanded region shows the protein sequence of the APHYAST carboxy terminus from the last phytochrome amino acid (gln), through the 5 amino acid linker to the 10 amino acid Strep-Tag peptide.

Figure 3 shows the UV-VIS absorbance spectroscopy of three ASPHYAST species. Spectra A, B, and C are plotted on the same wavelength scale indicated below C. A) PΦB-ASPHYAST adduct: Pr spectrum (solid line) following 2 min irradiation with 660 nm actinic light, Pfr spectrum (dashed line) following 2 min irradiation with 738 nm actinic light. B) PCB-ASPHYAST adduct: Pr spectrum (solid line) following 2 min irradiation with 650 nm actinic light, Pfr spectrum (dashed line) following irradiation with 738 nm actinic light. C) apophyST species. D) Difference spectra obtained from the spectra shown in parts A, B, and C. PΦB-ASPHYAST (dotted line), PCB-ASPHYAST (solid line), and apoASPHYAST (dashed line). I-Bar length represents 0.05 absorbance units.

Figure 4 shows spectrophotometric analysis of ASPHYAST-PEB adduct. All three spectra are plotted on the same wavelength scale as indicated below B. A) UV-Vis absorption spectrum. B) Normalized fluorescence excitation spectrum (solid line) and emission spectrum (dotted line).

Figure 5 shows a comparison of the phototransformation rates for native and recombinant oat phytochrome A (ASPHYAST). Phytochrome purified from oats (SAR=0.78) was diluted to optical density of 0.126 at 668 nm for Pr and strep-tagged phytochrome affinity purified from recombinant yeast (SAR=0.80) was diluted to an optical density of 0.121 at 668 nm for Pr. Both samples were irradiated with 730 nm light for 2 minutes. Acquisition was then initiated and after a 30 second time period, the actinic light was converted to 660 nm wavelength, then at 450 seconds actinic light was returned to 730 nm wavelength. Phototransformation was followed by absorption at 730 nm wavelength.

Figure 6 shows the phytochrome consensus sequence. Shaded residues are residues totally conserved in all known phytochromes including vascular and non-vascular plants, cyanobacteria, and algae. Upper-case letters indicate residues conserved in all phytochromes and in rcaE, a putative phytochrome regulator of chromatic adaptation in cyanobacteria (Kehoe *et al.* (1996) *Science*, 273: 1409-1412). Definitions: Asphya, *Avena*

*sativa* phyA; AtphyA, *Arabidopsis* phyA, Mcphy1b, *Mesotaenium caldarium* phy1b (green alga), S6803 phy1; *Synechocystis* sp 6803 phy1 (a cyanobacterium).

Figure 7. Spectroscopic analysis of recombinant phytochrome-PEB adducts.

Absorption spectra are plotted as molar absorption coefficients (solid lines). Fluorescence  
5 emission spectra, obtained by excitation at 545 nm (dotted line), are normalized relative to the  
respective PEB absorption peak. The fluorescence polarization spectra of ASPHYA-PEB is  
overlaid with values labeled on the axis to the right.

Figure 8. pH-stability and photo-stability of the ASPHYA-PEB phytofluor. A)

Relative recoveries of soluble ASPHYA-PEB (peak absorbance measured at 576 nm,  
10 following incubation at each pH, divided by peak absorbance at 576 nm for the pH 8.0  
sample) are plotted against pH. B) Fluorescence quantum yields were calculated for samples  
incubated in buffers of various pH's relative to  $\Phi_f=0.70$  for the pH 8.0 sample. C)  
15 Photostabilities of ASPHYA-PEB (circles, monitored at 576 nm), B-PE (squares, monitored  
at 546 nm) and fluorescein (diamonds, monitored at 491 nm) irradiated with white light  
measurements made on samples kept in darkness.

Figure 9. Phytofluor fluorescence in *Arabidopsis* plant seedlings. Dark grown

hy1 (A and B), wild type (C), and hy1 phyA phyB (D) seedlings were incubated with PEB and  
imaged by confocal microscopy with 10X (A, C, D) and 40X (B) magnification as described  
20 in the Examples. Phytofluor fluorescence emission only (590-610 nm) is shown on the left,  
while chlorophyll fluorescence emission (670-800 nm) is shown on the right.

Figure 10 illustrates a phytochrome operon of *Synechocystis* sp PCC6803. (A)

Genomic organization of the phytochrome-related gene *cph1* (locus slr0473; GB:D64001,  
locus 1001165) and the adjacent small response regulator gene *rcp1* (locus slr0474;  
25 GB:D64001, locus 1001166). (B) Deduced amino acid sequence of Cph1. Highlighted  
residues are 100% conserved between Cph1 and 21 full length eukaryotic phytochrome  
sequences in the nonredundant GenEMBL databases. The conserved cysteine for bilin  
attachment for eukaryotic phytochromes is shown with a black box. Underlined protein  
sequences represent the five conserved motifs of transmitter modules (Parkinson and Kofoid  
30 (1992) *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 26: 71-112). The outlined H represents the conserved histidine  
"autophosphorylation" site. (C) Multiple sequence alignment of Rcp1 and the response  
regulators, RecF (Kehoe and Grossman (1997) *J. Bacteriol.* 179, 3914-3921), CheY (Mutoh  
and Simon (1986) *J. Bacteriol.*, 165: 161-666) and SpoOF (Perego *et al.* (1994) *Cell* 79:

1047-1055). Invariant aspartate, threonine and lysine residues of the CheY superfamily are boxed, and conserved residues are highlighted.

Figure 11 illustrates the spectroscopic and biochemical properties of bilin adducts of recombinant Cph1. (A) Phytochrome difference spectra of 40% ammonium sulfate-fractionated, Cph1-containing protein (ASP) extracts (Li and Lagarias (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267: 19204-19210) following incubation with PΦB (short dashes), PCB (solid line) or PEB (long dashes). (B) Visualization of PΦB-, PCB- and PEB-adducts of Cph1 and N514 mutant on PVDF membranes treated with zinc acetate or alkaline phosphatase conjugated to streptavidin. Molecular mass markers at 119, 83, and 47 kDa are indicated with dots. (C) A structural model for prototypical eukaryotic and *Synechocystis* phytochromes. Both phytochromes share a similarly sized photosensory domain (open rectangle) containing a conserved cysteine chromophore binding site (\*) and a C-terminal transmitter-related module (dark shaded rectangle). Prototypical phytochromes also contain a small N-terminal extension and a second transmitter-related module (light shaded rectangle) that contains the PAS A and B repeats (Lagarias *et al.* (1995) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 29, 1127-1142). (D) Dark reversion of PCB- and PΦB-adducts of full length Cph1 (J and E, respectively) and N514 mutant (H and C, respectively) (Litts *et al.* (1983) *J. Biol. Chem.* 258: 11025-11031).

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

This invention is directed to fluorescent adducts, referred to herein as phytofluors, and their use as fluorescent markers or labels in a variety of contexts. The phytofluors comprise an apoprotein component (e.g. an oat or cyanobacterial apophytochrome) joined to a bilin component (e.g., phycoerythrobilin (PEB)). The phytofluors (fluorescent adducts) may be chemically conjugated or fused (*i.e.* recombinantly expressed as a fusion protein) to a subject moiety that is to be so labeled. In a preferred embodiment the labeled moiety is a member of a biological binding pair for use in any known or later discovered technique involving fluorescent labeling of analytes or other moieties.

The apoproteins and bilins forming the fluorescent phytofluors of this invention are available from natural sources or can be modified to provide novel complexes having different absorbance, emission, or labeling characteristics. These compositions find use for labeling of virtually any molecule or material that is chemically compatible with the fluorescent adducts. The phytofluors are well suited for labeling biological molecules and are particularly used to label a biochemical binding-pair member so that the resulting conjugates or fusions can be used in assays involving non-covalent binding to the complementary

member of the specific binding pair. A wide variety of methods involve competitive or non-competitive binding of ligand to receptor for detection, analysis, or measurement of the presence of ligand or receptor.

Thus, for example, in one embodiment, this invention provides for antibodies or antibody fragments to which the fluorescent adducts (phytofluors) of this invention are joined (either covalently or non-covalently). The antibodies are capable of specifically binding to the antigen to which they are directed. Detection of the presence, absence, or amount of fluorescence of the antibody-bound fluorescent adduct of this invention provides an indication of presence, absence, or amount of analyte to which the antibody is directed.

Similarly phytofluor labeled antibodies, or other ligands, can be used in immunohistochemical applications. In this context, fluorescent adduct labeled antibodies are used to probe cells, tissues, and sections thereof. When the subject sample is contacted with the labeled ligand, the ligand binds and localizes to specific regions of the sample in which the target molecule (the molecule or moiety recognized by the ligand) is located.

Localization and/or quantification of the fluorescent signal produced by the attached phytofluor provides information concerning the location and/or quantity of the target molecule in the sample. One of skill in the art will appreciate that the phytofluors of this invention are also well suited for *in situ* and *in vivo* labeling of molecules, cells, and cellular components.

The phytofluor labels of this invention can be attached to a wide variety of biological molecules in addition to antibodies. This may include proteins, in particular proteins recognized by particular antibodies, receptors, enzymes, or other ligands, nucleic acids (e.g., single or double stranded DNA, cDNA, mRNA, cRNA, rRNA, tRNA, etc.) various sugars and polysaccharides, lectins, enzymes, and the like. Uses of the various labeled biomolecules will be readily apparent to one of skill in the art. Thus, for example, labeled nucleic acids can be used as probes to specifically detect and/or quantify the presence of the complementary nucleic acid in, for example, a Southern blot.

The phytofluors of this invention can be attached to non-biological molecules and various articles of manufacture. Thus, for example where it is desired to associate an article of manufacture with a particular manufacturer, distributor, or supplier, the phytofluor, or simply one component of the phytofluor can be attached to the subject article. Later development (e.g., by addition of the second component such as bilin or apoprotein) and

exposure to an appropriate light source will provide a fluorescent signal identifying the article as one from a source of such labeled articles.

In another embodiment, the phytofluors of this invention can be used for probing protein-protein interactions. In a preferred embodiment, two apoprotein cDNA constructs are used. The first construct will encode a apoprotein species whose assembly with a given bilin emits at a well defined wavelength (donor). The second construct will encode an apoprotein species whose assembly with the same, or different, bilin produces a fluorescent species that both absorbs and emits light to longer wavelengths (acceptor).

Protein-protein interaction between two proteins of interest (*e.g.*, protein X and protein Y) is identified following their co-expression as translational fusions with apoprotein in constructs 1 (donor) and 2 (acceptor) using fluorescence energy transfer from the shorter wavelength-absorbing donor species to the longer wavelength-absorbing acceptor species. In a preferred embodiment, the fluorescent phytochrome species are selected to have good spectral overlap.

Proximity caused by the protein-protein interaction between the translational fused proteins X and Y will then permit fluorescence energy transfer thereby providing an indication of proximity between protein X and protein Y. This application can utilize the uptake of exogenous bilin pigment into living cells, or alternatively, may use endogenously expressed bilins in various organisms and cell types.

In a specific application, a yeast or *E. coli* strain containing donor construct 1, engineered to produce a fluorescent chimeric protein bait with a known cDNA sequence, will be co-transformed, simultaneously or sequentially, with a prey cDNA library (*i.e.*, plasmid or phage). The prey cDNA library will be constructed using acceptor construct 2 for expression of apoprotein-protein fusions which yield fluorescent tagged protein products in the presence of the correct bilin. Co-transformation events which express prey proteins in the library that interact with the expressed bait polypeptide can be identified by illuminating the shorter wavelength absorbing donor phytofluor species and viewing emission from the longer wavelength acceptor phytofluor emitting species. Actinic illumination for this screen can either be obtained with a quartz halogen projector lamp filtered through narrow bandpass filters or with a laser source and fluorescence detection of colonies using digital imaging technology (Arkin *et al.* (1990) *Bio-Technology* 8: 746-749). Fluorescent activated cell sorting (FACS) can also be used to identify cells co-expressing interacting donor and acceptor proteins.

In another application of this invention, the apoprotein cDNA in donor construct 1 "prey" is substituted with a green fluorescent protein (GFP) cDNA or construction of GFP-tagged cDNA expression libraries. By co-expression of apoprotein-tagged bait construct (Construct 2 above) with the GFP-tagged "prey" library, proteins which interact with the bait polypeptide will be visualized by energy transfer from GFP to the phytochrome tagged bait using, for example, digital imaging technology or FACS. The ability of GFP to spontaneously assemble its fluorophore makes it unnecessary to make two apoprotein constructs which have different fluorescence properties.

5 In a third specific application, chimeric apoprotein-protein X cDNA (where protein X is any protein of interest) are expressed in transgenic eukaryotes (yeast, plants, 10 *Drosophila*, etc.) in order to study the subcellular localization of protein X *in situ*. Following feeding of exogenous bilin, subcellular localization can be performed using fluorescence microscopy (e.g., laser confocal microscopy).

15 In one particularly preferred embodiment, the phytofluors of this invention are used as *in vitro* or *in vivo* labels in a manner analogous to the use of Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP). This typically involves transfecting a cell with a nucleic acid encoding an apoprotein in such a manner that the cell expresses the apoprotein (e.g., the nucleic acid is a component of an expression cassette). When the apoprotein is contacted with the appropriate bilin, supplied either exogenously or produced endogenously, the phytofluor (fluorescent 20 adduct) self assembles and thereby produces a fluorescent marker.

25 Uses of such a marker are well known to those of skill in the art (see, e.g., U.S. Patent 5,491,084 which describes uses of GFP). In one preferred embodiment, the phytofluor can be used as a marker to identify transfected cells. In the simplest approach, a nucleic acid expressing an apoprotein such as that described in Example 1 can be provided as a marker in a vector. The apoprotein, along with the cloned protein of interest, will be expressed in the transfected host. Application of the appropriate exogenous bilin will cause formation of the fluorescent adduct permitting ready detection of the transformed cell. Alternatively, the apoprotein can form an adduct with an endogenous bilin produced by the transformed organism (e.g., a plant cell). In this embodiment, the apoprotein will be a variant which 30 forms fluorescent adduct when combined with the naturally occurring bilin.

Based on the disclosure provided herein, one of skill will readily appreciate that there are numerous other uses to which the phytofluors (fluorescent adducts) of this invention can be applied.

Preparation of apoprotein polypeptides.

Apoprotein polypeptides used in the phytofluors of this invention can be expressed recombinantly or isolated from natural sources according to standard techniques.

The polypeptides or nucleic acids encoding them can be prepared from a wide range of organisms including vascular plants, algae, and cyanobacteria.

In higher plants, apoprotein polypeptides are encoded by a gene family of at least five structurally related members designated PHYA - PHYE (see, Terry *et al.* (1993) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 306:1-15 and Scharrock *et al.* (1989) *Genes Dev.* 3:1745-1757).

The primary structures of all apoproteins are very similar, with a polypeptide of about 1100 amino acids in length (Quail *et al.* in *Phytochrome Properties and Biological Action* (Thomas and Johnson eds.) pp13-38, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1991)). The native protein is a homodimer; the individual subunits being composed of two major domains. The globular 70 kD N-terminal domain contains the hydrophobic pocket in which the bilin chromophore resides (Gabriel *et al.* (1993) *J. Theor. Biol.* 44:617-645. The more elongated 55 kD carboxyl terminal domain contains the sites at which the two subunits are associated (Edgerton *et al.* (1992) *Plant Cell* 4:161-171). This domain is also responsible for phytochrome function, although both domains are thought to participate in the signal transmission process in native phytochrome.

Phytofluor apoproteins can be isolated from natural sources, most preferably from bilin-deficient natural sources including, vascular and nonvascular plants, algae and cyanobacteria using standard protein isolation techniques well known to those of skill in the art. Generally, these methods involve standard techniques well known in the art, including selective precipitation with such substances as ammonium sulfate, column chromatography, immunopurification methods, and others. See, for instance, R. Scopes, *Protein Purification: Principles and Practice*, Springer-Verlag: New York (1982).

In preferred embodiments, the polypeptides are produced recombinantly. Standard methods for preparation of recombinant proteins can be used for this purpose. For a discussion of the general laboratory procedures required for this purpose see, Sambrook, *et al.*, (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual* (2nd Ed.), Vol. 1-3, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

Nucleic acids encoding apoprotein polypeptides can be isolated from a number of organisms according to standard techniques. Exemplary genes are those isolated from higher plants (*e.g.*, AsphyA and AtphyA), and the green alga *Mesotaenium caldariorum* (*i.e.*,

McpH1b). In addition, genes encoding apophytochrome can be obtained from cyanobacteria. It was a discovery of this invention that the cyanobacteria *Synechocystis* sp. produces an apophytochrome. In particular, the open reading frame listed in GenBank D64001, locus 1001165 and designated herein as S6803phy1 was determined to be an 5 apophytochrome by sequence alignment methods. Having identified herein that cyanobacteria produce apophytochromes, identification of other cyanobacterial apophytochromes can be accomplished using routine methods available to one of skill in the art. Sequences for these apoproteins are provided in the sequence listing below. The corresponding nucleic acid sequences are known to those of skill in the art. One of skill will 10 recognize that these sequences can be used to determine the design primers and probes for isolation of related genes in other organisms.

Generally, recombinant expression techniques involve the construction of recombinant nucleic acids and the expression of genes in transfected cells. Molecular cloning techniques to achieve these ends are known in the art. A wide variety of cloning and *in vitro* 15 amplification methods suitable for the construction of recombinant nucleic acids are well-known to persons of skill. Examples of these techniques and instructions sufficient to direct persons of skill through many cloning exercises are found in Berger and Kimmel, *Guide to Molecular Cloning Techniques, Methods in Enzymology* volume 152 Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA (Berger); and *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, F.M. Ausubel *et al.*, 20 eds., *Current Protocols*, a joint venture between Greene Publishing Associates, Inc. and John Wiley & Sons, Inc., (1994 Supplement) (Ausubel).

The polypeptides are expressed in a recombinantly engineered cell such as plants, bacteria, yeast, insect (especially employing baculoviral vectors), and mammalian cells. It is expected that those of skill in the art are knowledgeable in the numerous 25 expression systems available for expression of the DNA encoding apoprotein polypeptides. No attempt to describe in detail the various methods known for the expression of proteins in prokaryotes or eukaryotes will be made.

In brief, the expression of natural or synthetic nucleic acids encoding the polypeptides will typically be achieved by operably linking the DNA or cDNA to a promoter 30 (which is either constitutive or inducible), followed by incorporation into an expression vector. The vectors can be suitable for replication and integration in either prokaryotes or eukaryotes. Typical expression vectors contain transcription and translation terminators, initiation sequences, and promoters useful for regulation of the expression of the DNA

encoding the binding domains. To obtain high level expression of a cloned gene, it is desirable to construct expression plasmids which contain, at the minimum, a strong promoter to direct transcription, a ribosome binding site for translational initiation, and a transcription/translation terminator.

5        **Expression in Prokaryotes**

Examples of regulatory regions suitable for this purpose in *E. coli* are the promoter and operator region of the *E. coli* tryptophan biosynthetic pathway as described by Yanofsky (1984) *J. Bacteriol.*, 158: 1018-1024 and the leftward promoter of phage lambda ( $P_L$ ) as described by Herskowitz and Hagen (1980) *Ann. Rev. Genet.*, 14: 399-445. The inclusion of selection markers in DNA vectors transformed in *E. coli* is also useful. Examples of such markers include genes specifying resistance to ampicillin, tetracycline, or chloramphenicol. See Sambrook *et al.* for details concerning selection markers for use in *E. coli*.

10      Expression systems for expressing the polypeptides are available using *E. coli*, *Bacillus* sp. (Palva *et al.* (1983) *Gene* 22:2 29-235; Mosbach *et al.* *Nature*, 302:543-545) and *Salmonella*. *E. coli* systems are preferred.

15      The apoprotein polypeptides produced by prokaryote cells may not necessarily fold properly. During purification from *E. coli*, the expressed polypeptides may first be denatured and then renatured. This can be accomplished by solubilizing the bacterially produced proteins in a chaotropic agent such as guanidine HCl and reducing all the cysteine residues with a reducing agent such as beta-mercaptoethanol. The polypeptides are then renatured, either by slow dialysis or by gel filtration (see, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 4,511,503).

20        **Expression in Eukaryotes**

25      A variety of eukaryotic expression systems such as yeast, insect cell lines and mammalian cells, are known to those of skill in the art. As explained briefly below, the apoprotein polypeptides may also be expressed in these eukaryotic systems.

30        **Expression in Yeast**

Synthesis of heterologous proteins in yeast is well known and described. *Methods in Yeast Genetics*, Sherman *et al.*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, (1982) is a well recognized work describing the various methods available to produce the polypeptides in yeast.

Preferred yeast expression systems are described in Wahleithner *et al.* (1991)

*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88:10387-10391, Murphy and Lagarias (1997) *Photochem.*

*Photobiol.*, 65: 750-758, and Wu *et al.* (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA*, 93: 8989-8994.

Further examples of yeast expression are described below. A number of yeast expression

5 plasmids like YEp6, YEp13, YEp4 can be used as vectors. A gene of interest can be fused to any of the promoters in various yeast vectors. The above-mentioned plasmids have been fully described in the literature (Botstein *et al.* (1979) *Gene*, 8: 17-24; Broach *et al.* (1979) *Gene*, 8: 121-133).

10 The polypeptides can be isolated from yeast by lysing the cells and applying standard protein isolation techniques to the lysates. The monitoring of the purification process can be accomplished by using spectroscopic techniques, or by using Western blot techniques or radioimmunoassays, or other standard immunoassay techniques.

#### Expression in Plants

15 The apoprotein polypeptides of this invention can also be expressed in plants or plant tissues. Plant tissue includes differentiated and undifferentiated tissues of plants including, but not limited to, roots, shoots, leaves, pollen, seeds, tumor tissue, such as crown gall, and various forms of aggregations of plant cells in culture, such as embryos and calli. The plant tissue may be in plants, cuttings, or in organ, tissue, or cell culture.

20 The recombinant DNA molecule encoding the apoprotein polypeptide under the control of promoter sequences may be introduced into plant tissue by any means known to the art. The technique used for a given plant species or specific type of plant tissue depends on the known successful techniques. The various DNA constructs described above may be introduced into the genome of the desired plant by a variety of conventional techniques. For example, the DNA construct may be introduced directly into the genomic DNA of the plant 25 cell using polyethylene glycol precipitation (Paszkowski *et al.* (1984) *Embo J.* 3: 2717-2722) electroporation and microinjection of plant cell protoplasts (Fromm *et al.* (1985) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82: 5824), or the DNA constructs can be introduced into plant tissue using ballistic methods, such as DNA particle bombardment (Klein *et al.* (1987) *Nature* 327: 70-73). Alternatively, the DNA constructs may be combined with suitable T-DNA flanking 30 regions and introduced into a conventional *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* host vector. The virulence functions of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* host will direct the insertion of the construct and adjacent marker gene(s) (if present) into the plant cell DNA when the cell is infected by the bacteria. For a review of gene transfer methods for plant and cell cultures see,

Fisk *et al.* (1993) *Scientia Horticulturae* 55: 5-36 (1993) and Potrykus (1990) CIBA Found. Symp. 154: 198.

5 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation techniques are the most commonly used techniques for transferring genes into plants. These techniques are well described in the scientific literature. See, for example Horsch *et al.* (1984) *Science* 233: 496-498, Fraley *et al.* (1983) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 80: 4803, and Hooykaas (1989) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 13: 327-336, Bechtold *et al.* (1993). *Comptes Rendus De L'Academie Des Sciences Serie III-Sciences De La Vie-Life Sciences* 316: 1194-1199, Valvekens *et al.* (1988) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85: 5536-5540.

10 All species which are natural plant hosts for *Agrobacterium* are transformable *in vitro*. Most dicotyledonous species can be transformed by *Agrobacterium*. Monocotyledonous plants, and in particular, cereals, have not previously been regarded as natural hosts to *Agrobacterium*. There is, however, growing evidence that monocots can be transformed by *Agrobacterium*. Using novel experimental approaches cereal species such as 15 rye (de la Pena *et al.* (1987) *Nature* 325: 274-276), corn (Rhodes *et al.* (1988) *Science* 240: 204-207), and rice (Shimamoto *et al.*, (1989) *Nature* 338: 274-276) may now be transformed.

Transformation of a number of woody plants using *Agrobacterium* and other methods has been described. (Shuerman *et al.* (1993) *Scientia Horticulturae* 55: 101-124). For instance, regeneration and transformation of apples is described in James *et al.* (1989) 20 *Plant Cell Rep.* 7: 658-661. Tissue culture procedures for apple including micropropagation, (Jones (1976) *Nature* 262: 392-393; Zimmerman (1983) Pp 124-135 In *Methods in Fruit Breeding,*) and adventitious bud formation (James (1987) *Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering Reviews*, 5: 33-79) have also been described. After transformation, transformed plant cells or plants comprising the introduced DNA must be identified. A selectable and/or 25 scorable marker gene is typically used. However, the apoproteins can be detected directly through the formation of a fluorescent adduct with a bilin. In another embodiment, the apophytochrome (apoprotein) can be modified to utilize the endogenous or modified bilins produced in plants. Transformed plant cells can be selected by growing the cells on growth medium containing the appropriate antibiotic. In some instances, the presence of opines can 30 also be used if the plants are transformed with *Agrobacterium*. After selecting the transformed cells, one can confirm expression of the introduced apoprotein gene(s). Simple detection of mRNA encoded by the inserted DNA can be achieved by well known methods in the art, such as Northern blot hybridization. The inserted sequence can be identified using the

polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Southern blot hybridization, as well (see, e.g., Sambrook, *supra*).

Transformed plant cells (e.g., protoplasts) which are derived by any of the above transformation techniques can be cultured to regenerate a whole plant which possesses the transformed genotype and thus expresses the desired apoprotein. Such regeneration techniques rely on manipulation of certain phytohormones in a tissue culture growth medium. 5 Plant regeneration from cultured protoplasts is described in Evans *et al.* (1983) pp. 124-176 In: *Protoplasts Isolation and Culture, Handbook of Plant Cell Culture*, MacMillan Publishing Company, New York; and *Binding, Regeneration of Plants, Plant Protoplasts*, pp. 10 21-73; CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1985. Regeneration can also be obtained from plant callus, explants, organs, or parts thereof. Such regeneration techniques are described generally in Klee *et al.* (1987) *Ann. Rev. of Plant Phys.* 38: 467-486.

One of skill will recognize that after the expression cassette is stably incorporated in transgenic plants and confirmed to be operable, it can be introduced into other 15 plants by sexual crossing. Any of a number of standard breeding techniques can be used, depending upon the species to be crossed.

#### Expression in Mammalian and Insect Cell Cultures

Illustrative of cell cultures useful for the production of the apoprotein polypeptides are cells of insect or mammalian origin. Mammalian cell systems often will be 20 in the form of monolayers of cells although mammalian cell suspensions may also be used. Illustrative examples of mammalian cell lines include VERO and HeLa cells, Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell lines, W138, BHK, Cos-7 or MDCK cell lines.

When the host cell is of insect or mammalian origin illustrative expression control sequences are obtained from the SV-40 promoter (*Science*, 222:524-527, 1983), the 25 CMV I.E. Promoter (*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 81:659-663, 1984) or the metallothionein promoter (*Nature* 296:39-42, 1982). The cloning vector containing the expression control sequences is cleaved using restriction enzymes and adjusted in size as necessary or desirable and ligated with DNA coding for the apoprotein polypeptides by means well known in the art.

#### Expression of variant apoprotein polypeptides

30 The nucleotide sequences used to transfect the host cells described above and used for production of recombinant binding domain polypeptides can be modified according to standard techniques to yield polypeptides with a variety of desired properties. The binding

domain polypeptides of the present invention can be readily designed and manufactured utilizing various recombinant DNA techniques well known to those skilled in the art. For example, the binding domain polypeptides can vary from the naturally-occurring sequence at the primary structure level by amino acid insertions, substitutions, deletions, and the like.

- 5 These modifications can be used in a number of combinations to produce the final modified protein chain.

The amino acid sequence variants can be prepared with various objectives in mind, including facilitating purification and preparation of the recombinant polypeptide, biological stability, and/or fluorescence quantum yields of the adducts of the invention.

- 10 In general, modifications of the sequences encoding the apoprotein polypeptides may be readily accomplished by a variety of well-known techniques, such as site-directed mutagenesis (see, Giliman and Smith (1979) *Gene* 8:81-97 and Roberts *et al.* (1987) *Nature* 328:731-734), or chemical modification (Glazer *et al.* (1975) Pp, 205 in *Chemical Modification of Proteins*, Elsevier, New York).

- 15 One of ordinary skill will appreciate that the effect of many mutations is difficult to predict. Thus, most modifications are evaluated by routine screening in a suitable assay for the desired characteristic. A particularly useful assay using expression in the yeast, *Pichia pastoris* is described below and in the examples. For instance, this assay can be used to test random genetic approaches to identify 'gain-of-function' mutations which affect the 20 spectroscopic properties of phytochrome.

- Fluorescence-based screens of the phytochrome mutant expressing cell population are particularly useful in the *Pichia* system because these cells synthesize PΦB (Wu *et al* (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 93: 8989-8994). In this way, mutations affecting the primary photochemical step in the conversion of Pr to Pfr (*i.e.* 15Z to 15E 25 photoisomerization) will exhibit enhanced fluorescence. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) is particularly useful in this assay. The introduction of a bulky amino acid side chain near the D-ring of the chromophore is one example of the type of mutation which can be isolated by this screen.

- Specific amino acid residues important to chromophore-protein interactions in 30 phytochrome can be identified. For instance, epitope-tagged versions of recombinant phytochromes derived from higher plants (*i.e.* AsphyA-ST and AtphyA-ST), the green alga *Mesotaenium caldariorum* (*i.e.* Mcphy1b-ST) and the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp.

PCC6803 (*i.e.* S6803phy1-ST) - all four of which have been successfully expressed and assembled with bilins can be used to identify useful variants.

Phytochromes can be used in these methods. The HPLC analyses are greatly simplified by the use of a chromophore domain fragment. The expression and purification of such mutants of AsphyA or Mcphy1b is based on chromophore domain mutant expression studies of other species (*see, e.g.*, Deforce *et al.* (1991) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 88:10392-10396 and Schmidt *et al.* (1996) *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B: Biology* 34: 73-77.

In one embodiment, a preferred apoprotein consists of the chromophore domain; the N terminus of the apoprotein sufficient for lyase activity. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the apoprotein consists of the minimal chromophore domain. Such minimal domains are readily determined by performing apoprotein truncations and assaying the ability of the apoprotein to reassemble with an added bilin as described herein. One such shortened apoprotein is N514, described in the Examples.

Particular amino acid sites can also be modified. One such site is the chromophore binding site cysteine residue (*i.e.* cys<sub>322</sub> of AsphyA or cys<sub>324</sub> of Mcphy1b). These residues can be modified with a sulphydryl-specific bifunctional photoaffinity crosslinking reagent such as p-azidophenacyl bromide or N(4-azidophenylthio)phthalimide (APTP). The bifunctional photoaffinity crosslinking reagent will be introduced into the molecule via reaction with cys<sub>322</sub> followed by UV crosslinking. Having identified putative chromophore binding site residues with this approach, saturation site-specific mutagenesis experiments will be undertaken to evaluate the importance of these residues to bilin attachment, photoactivity and/or holoprotein conformation. Control experiments to determine whether chemical modification grossly alters the apoprotein's conformation will also be performed with each sulphydryl reagent.

Based on multiple sequence alignment of the chromophore domains of phytochromes directed mutagenesis can be carried out. In one embodiment, a "chemical rescue" approach can be employed to help distinguish specific local effects from gross structural perturbations caused by individual mutations (*see, Toney et al. (1989) Science* 243:1485-1488). Using this technique, site-directed mutations at conserved arg and trp residues can be introduced within the chromophore domain of phytochrome. Arg<sub>237</sub> of AsphyA is an example of a good target for mutagenesis because it is the only conserved arg residue in the chromophore domain, and thus is a potential candidate for tethering the propionic acid side chains of the bilin chromophore.

A similar approach is used to examine the importance of conserved tryptophan residues, beginning with the two universally invariant trp<sub>366</sub> and trp<sub>475</sub> of AsphyA. In this case, chemical rescue will employ indole prosthetic. The potential importance of trp residues to the phytochrome photocycle has already been implicated by resonance Raman spectroscopy (see, e.g., Mizutani *et al.* (1991) *Biochemistry* 30:10693-10700).

Other cysteine residues in the chromophore domain can also be mutagenized. First, there are relatively few cysteine residues in phytochrome with as few as 6 cysteines in the chromophore domain of S6803phy1. In addition, aside from the site of chromophore attachment, only one other cysteine (*i.e.* cys<sub>387</sub> on AsphyA) is found on almost all of the known phytochromes, the notable exception being rcaE. This suggests that most, if not all of the cysteines are dispensable, and could be substituted with isosteric serine residues without any significant structural or functional effect. For instance, the five cysteine residues in the chromophore domain of S6803phy1 can be substituted with serine residues. The photochemical properties of these mutant constructs can be examined to ascertain if the absorption coefficient, photoequilibrium and/or photochemical quantum yields are altered by mutagenesis. Another preferred embodiment is a cysteine-deficient (except for cys<sub>259</sub> of S6803phy1), photoactive phytochrome mutant. This mutant is particularly useful for structural studies such as crosslinking experiments proposed above. Moreover, re-introduction of cysteine residues at selected positions in this cysteine-deficient mutant can be used for structural analyses, and for specific cross-linking to other macromolecules.

#### Preparation of bilins

The bilin component of the adducts of the invention can be isolated from the appropriate natural source or synthesized according to techniques known in the art. Methods for synthesis of the dimethyl ester of phytochromobilin are described for instance in Weller *et al.* (1980) *Chem. Ber.* 113:1603-1611. Conversion of the dimethyl ester to the free acid can be accomplished according to known techniques (see, e.g., Greene and Wuts, *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis* 2d ed. (John Wiley and Sons, 1991)).

Methods for isolating bilins including phytochromobilin, phycocyanobilin (PCB), and phycoerythrobilin (PEB) from natural sources are also described in the art. For instance crude phycocyanobilin can be prepared from *Spirulina platensis* as described by Terry *et al.* (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.* 268:26099-26106. Crude phytochromobilin and PEB can be prepared by methanolysis of *Porphyridium cruentum* cells as described by Cornejo *et al.*

(1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267: 14790-14798. The structures of phytochromobilin, PCB, and PEB are shown in Figure 1.

Attachment of fluorescent adducts to subject molecules.

Tagged moiety.

5           The conjugates of the subject invention are fluorescent adducts bound either covalently or non-covalently, normally covalently, to a particular moiety to be detected. Virtually any moiety to which it is desired to attach a fluorescent label is suitable. The moiety can be a macroscopic article such as an article of manufacture that is to be fluorescently tagged, or alternatively, the moiety can be microscopic, such as cell, an  
10         organelle, or a single molecule.

Again, virtually any molecule can be tagged. Typically, however, the moiety to be tagged and detected will be a biomolecule such as a polypeptide, oligopeptide, nucleic acid, polysaccharide, oligosaccharide, lipid, and the like. For instance, the subject molecule may be a ligand or receptor. A "ligand", as used herein, refers generally to all molecules  
15         capable of reacting with or otherwise recognizing or binding to a second biological macromolecule e.g., a receptor, antigen, or other molecule on a target cell. Specifically, examples of ligands include, but are not limited to antibodies, lymphokines, cytokines, receptor proteins (e.g., CD4, CD8), solubilized receptor proteins (e.g., solubilized T-cell receptor, soluble CD4), hormones, growth factors, and the like which specifically bind  
20         particular target cells. A "growth factor" as used herein refers to a protein ligand that stimulates cell division or differentiation or inhibits cell division or stimulates or inhibits a biological response like motility or secretion of proteins. Growth factors are well known to those of skill in the art and include, but are not limited to, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), insulin-like growth factor (IGF), transforming  
25         growth factor  $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ), fibroblast growth factors (FGF), interleukin 2 (IL2), nerve growth factor (NGF), interleukin 3 (IL3), interleukin 4 (IL4), interleukin 1 (IL1), interleukin 6 (IL6), interleukin 7 (IL7), granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), erythropoietin and the like. One of skill in the art recognizes that the term growth  
30         factor as used herein generally includes cytokines and colony stimulating factors.

Attachment of the phyt fluor to the moiety.

The proteinaceous portions of the fluorescent adducts (phytofluors) referred to here as the apoproteins provide a wide range of functional groups for conjugation to proteinaceous and non-proteinaceous molecules. Functional groups which are present include, 5 but are not limited to amino, thio, hydroxyl, and carboxy. In some instances, it may be desirable to introduce, delete, or modify functional groups, particularly thio groups where the apoprotein is to be conjugated to another protein.

Depending upon the nature of the molecule (e.g., member of a specific binding pair) to be conjugated to the phytofluor complex, the ratio of the two moieties will vary 10 widely, where there may be a plurality of subject molecules to one phytofluor or apoprotein or, conversely, where there may be a plurality of phytofluors or apoproteins to one subject molecule. Of course, the molar ratio of the molecule (moiety) to be labeled to the phytofluor or apoprotein may be about 1:1. In addition, in some instances, initial intermediates are formed by covalently conjugating a small ligand to a fluorescent adduct and then forming a 15 specific binding pair complex with the complementary receptor, where the receptor then serves as a ligand or receptor in a subsequent complex or is itself covalently attached to a ligand or receptor intended for use in a subsequent complex.

The procedure for attaching a subject molecule to the phytofluor or an apoprotein of the fluorescent adduct will vary according to the chemical structure of the 20 agent. As indicated above, the apoproteins contain a variety of functional groups (e.g., -OH, -COOH, -SH, or -NH<sub>2</sub>) groups, which are available for reaction with a suitable functional group on an agent molecule to bind the agent thereto. Alternatively, the apoprotein may be derivatized to expose or attach additional reactive functional groups. The derivatization may involve attachment of any of a number of linker molecules such as those available from 25 Pierce Chemical Company, Rockford Illinois. A bifunctional linker having one functional group reactive with a group on a particular agent, and another group reactive with an antibody, may be used to form the desired immunoconjugate.

Alternatively, derivatization may involve chemical treatment of the antibody; e.g., glycol cleavage of the sugar moiety of the glycoprotein antibody with periodate to 30 generate free aldehyde groups. The free aldehyde groups on the antibody may be reacted with free amine or hydrazine groups on an agent to bind the agent thereto (see, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 4,671,958). Procedures for generation of free sulphydryl groups on antibodies or antibody fragments are also known (see, e.g., U.S. Pat. No. 4,659,839). Many procedure and

linker molecules for attachment of various compounds including radionuclide metal chelates, toxins and drugs to proteins (e.g., to antibodies) are known. See, for example, European Patent Application No. 188,256; U.S. Patent Nos. 4,671,958, 4,659,839, 4,414,148, 4,699,784; 4,680,338; 4,569,789; and 4,589,071; and Borlinghaus *et al.* (1987) *Cancer Res.* 47: 4071-4075).

Linking agents suitable for joining the adducts of this invention to nucleic acids are also well known. For example, linking agents which are specific to the free secondary hydroxyl normally present at the 3' end include phosphites, succinic anhydride and phthalamide. Linking agents which are specific to the phosphate normally present on the sugar at the 5' end (at least for most naturally occurring polynucleotides or products of most cleavage reactions) include carbodiimides such as 1-ethyl-,3'dimethylamino propylcarbodiimide, with or without imidazole or 1-methylimidazole. See Chu *et al.* (1983) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 11: 6513-6529.

### EXAMPLES

The following examples are offered to illustrate, but not to limit the present invention.

#### EXAMPLE 1

##### HIGH EFFICIENCY PURIFICATION AND PROPERTIES OF RECOMBINANT EPITOPE-TAGGED OAT PHYTOCHROME A

Although recombinant systems provide powerful tools for molecular analysis of phytochrome, purification of the recombinant protein is desirable for proper assessment of the structural basis of various phytochrome functions. Purification facilitates assessment of the structural integrity of modified recombinant phytochromes, minimizing misidentification of catalytic function for residues which play a structural role in the protein. Expression of PHYA in heterologous organisms inherently separates phytochrome away from plant cofactors and competing enzymatic activities. Therefore, isolation of recombinant phyA from the host expression organism may provide a level of purity not possible from plants. Plant derived preparations of phyA most likely contain other phytochromes in addition to potential phytochrome associated molecules (Elich & Chory (1994) *Plant Molecular Biology*, 26: 1315-1327). These impurities may hinder formation of crystals required for high resolution X-ray analysis, and may complicate *in vitro* analysis of potential phytochrome biochemical activities. In addition recombinant apoprotein and/or non-natural chromophore adducts may crystallize more readily than the native photoreceptor.

This example provides a method for the efficient purification of recombinant oat PHYA from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using a C-terminal epitope tag. Full length oat phyA containing the 10 amino acid "strep-tag" can be expressed and purified to apparent homogeneity by its high affinity for streptavidin (Skerra, A. (1994) *Gene* 141: 79-84). The 5 ability to purify epitope tagged phytochrome allows assessment of the integrity of the recombinant molecule with respect to phyA purified from oats.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Reagents and Enzymes.

The pASK75, Strep-tag vector was purchased from Biometra (Tampa, FL).  
10 DNA modifying enzymes were purchased from Gibco BRL (Grand Island, NY) except for DNA ligase which was purchased from Takara/Pan Vera (Madison Wisconsin, USA). All enzymes were used according to manufacturer's instructions. Streptavidin agarose was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, Missouri, USA).

### Construction of Plasmids.

15 ASPHYA-HPT plasmid was obtained by mutagenesis of the stop codon of the oat phytochrome A cDNA , from the vector pGphyA3 (Wahleithner *et al.* (1991) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 88: 10387-10391), to produce a BglII restriction site. The modified pASK75 vector, pASK75B, was constructed by restriction of pASK75 with HindIII, ligation of the Hind2Bgl oligomer (5'-AGCTTCAGATCTGA-3' SEQ ID NO: 4)), restriction with BglII, and 20 religation. The cDNA encoding oat phytochrome A3 (Hershey, *et al.* (1987) *Gene* 61: 339- 348) was prepared by restriction of ASPHYA-HPT with BglII, partial fill in of the recessed 3' termini with Klenow fragment in the presence of GTP and ATP, restriction with BamHI, and agarose gel purification using the Geneclean kit from Bio 101 (Vista, CA).

This phyA fragment was ligated into the pASK75B vector which was prepared 25 by restriction with SalI, partial fill in of the recessed 3' termini with Klenow fragment in the presence of CTP and TTP, and restriction with BamHI to produce plasmid pASKphyAL. The yeast expression vector, pMACPHYA-ST, was constructed by restriction of pASKphyAL with BamHI and BglII, separation of the oat PHYA-ST cDNA fragment by agarose gel electrophoresis/geneclean, and ligation of this fragment with the pMAC106 . 30 vector (Wahleithner *et al.* (1991) *supra.*) which had been linearized with BamHI.

Expression of strep-tagged oat phytochromeA.

Strep-tagged oat phytochromeA (PHYA-ST) was expressed from the pMacphyAST plasmid in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain 29A (*MATa, leu2-3, leu2-112, his3-1, ade1-101, trp1-289*) (Wahleithner *et al.* (1991) *supra*). All cultures were incubated at 5 30°C with shaking at 300 rpm. A few colonies were transferred from petri plates to 15 ml SD (2% dextrose, and 0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids), which was supplemented with adenine, histidine, and tryptophan (at 40 mg/ml each) and grown overnight to OD<sub>580</sub>>1.0. These precultures were used to inoculate 1 liter SR media (2% raffinose, and 0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids) which was supplemented with adenine, 10 histidine, and tryptophan (at 40 mg/ml each) in 2.8 L Fernback flasks. After incubation for 12-16 h to OD<sub>580</sub>=1.0, ASPHYAST expression was induced by dilution of two 1 L cultures with an equal volume of SR +his+trp+ade, transfer of 1 L cell suspension to four Fernback flasks, and addition of sterile galactose to a final concentration of 1% (w/v). Induction was allowed to proceed for 24-30 hours.

15 ASPHYA-ST purification.

Four L of cell culture was harvested by centrifugation for 5 minutes at 5000 x g. This and all subsequent steps were carried out at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in 500 ml Milli Q water and recentrifuged as above. The washed pellet was resuspended in YH buffer (50 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 1% 20 DMSO, 1.5 mg/ml leupeptin, 3 mg/ml pepstatin A, 1 mM benzamidine, 1 mM PMSF) at a ratio of 1 ml per 1 g fresh cell weight. This suspension was frozen by slowly dripping into liquid nitrogen. This cell suspension was thawed, transferred to an 80 ml bead mill chamber (Biospec products; Bartlesville, OK), which was half filled with 0.5 mm glass beads, and the remaining volume was filled with YH buffer. Cells were lysed using 3x30 s pulses with 25 chilling on ice for 60 s between pulses. The homogenate was clarified by centrifugation for 30 min at 100,000 x g and the resulting soluble extract was transferred to a polypropylene bottle. Solid (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was added at a ratio of 0.23 g/ml. After incubation for 16 hour on ice the protein precipitate was collected by centrifugation for 20 min at 17,000 x g, dissolved in 10-15 ml buffer W (100 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT), and clarified by 30 centrifugation for 15 min at 38,000 x g. The resulting ASPI fraction was incubated with 40 mg/ml avidin (Sigma, cat # A9275) to bind biotinylated proteins in the extract for at least 20 min. During this blocking step, bilins were added to initiate phytochrome assembly (see "Holophytochrome assembly and photoassay" below). ASPI fractions were then passed over

a streptavidin agarose column. The column bed volume was adjusted according to the quantity of phytochrome in the ASPI such that the empirically determined capacity of 200 mg ASPHYA-ST per ml of streptavidin agarose was not exceeded. After washing with 10 volumes of buffer W, ASPHYA-ST was eluted in 1 ml fractions of buffer E (100 mM Tris 5 HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 3 mM diaminobiotin). Phytochrome containing fractions were concentrated to 100 ml volume by centrifugation for 120 min at 3000 x g with an Amicon centriplus 100 concentrator (Beverly, MA). Concentrated phytochrome fractions were diluted in 1 ml TEGE buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 25 % ethylene glycol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) and stored at -80°C until further analysis.

10 **Bilin Preparations.**

PCB was prepared from lyophilized *Spirulina platensis* (Sigma, cat# S9134) as described previously (Terry *et al.* (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.*, 268: 26099-26106). PΦB and PEB were prepared by methanolysis of acetone treated *Porphyridium cruentum* cells (Cornejo *et al.* (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267: 14790-14798). All three bilins were purified by HPLC, and 15 quantitated as described previously (Li *et al.* (1995) *Biochem.* 34: 7923-7930).

**Holophytochrome assembly and photoassay.**

The following procedures were carried out under green safelight essentially as described previously (Li and Lagarias (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267: 19204-19210). Assembly with PΦB or PCB was performed by incubation of the ASPI protein fraction with 2 mM bilin 20 for 40 min at room temperature. Assembly with PEB occurred using similar conditions except that bilin was adjusted to 5 mM final concentration. Assembled ASPI fractions were added directly to a 0.5 ml glass cuvette and absorbance difference assay was performed with an HP8450A UV-visible spectrophotometer. Purified phytochromes were assayed using 1 ml quartz cuvettes. Difference spectra of ASPHY(PΦB)-ST were obtained as described 25 previously (Litts *et al.* (1983) *J. Biol. Chem.* 258: 11025-11031) and difference spectra for PHY(PCB)-ST utilized a 650±11 nm bandpass filter for red actinic illumination (Elich and Lagarias (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 12902-12908).

**SDS-PAGE, Zinc-Blot, and Western blot analysis.**

SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed using 10% acrylamide 30 gels cast in 1 mm thick minigels (Bio-Rad; Hercules, CA) and the Laemmli buffer system (Laemmli (1970) *Nature* 227: 680-685). Following electrophoresis, gels were either stained with Brilliant Blue R-250 or proteins were transblotted to Immobilon P polyvinylidene

difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore; Bedford, MA; cat# IPVH10) at 100 V for 60 min. The same PVDF membrane was used for zinc-blot, and western blot analysis. Western blots were probed with streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate (Amersham; Arlington Heights, IL; cat# RPN1234), after preblocking the membrane with bovine serum albumin and 5 avidin (Schmidt & Skerra (1993) *Prot. Eng.* 6: 109-122). Zinc blot detection was performed as described previously (Li and Lagarias (1992) *supra*). Molecular weight standards for Coomassie stained gels were obtained from Sigma (cat# SDS-6H) with M<sub>r</sub> values of 29, 45, 66, 97.4, 116, and 205 kDa. Molecular weight standards for blots were obtained from Bio-Rad (cat # 161-0309) with M<sub>r</sub> values of 48, 87, 120, and 199 kDa.

10 **SEC-HPLC analysis of ASPHYA-ST**

All SEC-HPLC separations were performed using a Dionex BioLC gradient pump equipped with a Dionex variable wavelength detector interfaced to a Hewlet Packard UV-Visible chemstation. Two 0.46 x 25cm hydropore SEC columns (Rainin; Emeryville, CA; cat#83-S03-C5) were connected directly in series and maintained at ambient temperature 15 (25°C). The mobile phase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 100mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 25% (v/v) ethylene glycol, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, pH 7.8) was prefiltered through a 0.4 mM HA filter (Millipore, cat# HATF047X). Between 0.04 and 0.05 A<sub>280</sub> units of phytochrome samples in TEGE buffer were loaded per injection. Column calibration utilized Biorad gel filtration standards (cat# 151-1901) containing bovine thyroglobulin, 670 kDa; bovine gamma 20 globulin, 158 kDa; chicken ovalbumin, 44 kDa; horse myoglobin, 17 kDa; and vitamin B12, 1.35 kDa. Cow pea mosaic virus (>1000 kDa) was used for void volume calibration.

**Fluorescence spectroscopy.**

Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra were obtained with a SLM Aminco Bowman AB2 fluorimeter equipped with a continuous wave Xenon lamp. Samples 25 in polystyrene cuvettes (Fisher; Pittsburgh, PA; cat# 14385991F) were excited through the 1 cm path length direction. For emission scans the excitation was set at 535 nm. For excitation scans, fluorescence emission was monitored at 610 nm. Both monochrometers were adjusted to 2 nm bandpass for all measurements.

**Protein assays.**

Prior to protein assay, thiol reducing agents were removed from all 30 phytochrome samples by extraction with chloroform/methanol (Wessel and Flugge (1984) *Analyt. Biochem.* 138: 141-143), speed vac lyophilization, and resuspension in 50 mM Tris

HCl pH 6.8, 1% SDS. Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA protein assay (Pierce; Rockford, IL) with BSA as standard (Smith *et al.* (1985) *Analyt. Biochem.* 150: 76-85).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 5      Purification methodology and efficiency.

The choice of purification method for recombinant phytochrome was influenced by the desire to purify different structural forms of the photoreceptor using a uniform methodology. Since conventional purification protocols are strongly dependent on the structural characteristics of the desired product, an affinity "tagging" system was sought.  
10 Such tagging can be achieved by recombinant expression of an in frame protein fusion between phytochrome and a commercially available peptide for which affinity purification protocols have been developed. Initially fusion proteins having glutathione-S-transferase (GST, Pharmacia) or maltose binding protein (MBP, New England Biolabs) fused to the amino terminus of phytochrome were expressed and purified. In both cases the expressed  
15 fusion protein was soluble and displayed the expected size on western blots probed with phytochrome antibodies. Both fusion proteins could be purified using the appropriate affinity matrix as directed by the manufacturer. However, in neither case was the fusion protein competent to assemble with chromophore precursors using protocols established for assembly of native length recombinant apoprotein.

20       It has been shown that the amino terminal 10 kDa peptide of oat phyA is important in maintaining spectrophotometrically detectable integrity of the chromophore (Cherry *et al.* (1992) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 89: 5039-5043; Vierstra and Quail (1982). As a consequence of these results, the Strep Tag system was used.

The strep-tagged oat phytochrome A (ASPHYA-ST) expressed in yeast  
25 contains all of the amino acids coded by the cDNA from oats with the addition of fifteen amino acids at the carboxy terminus (Figure 2). The ASPHYA-ST protein was engineered to include the entire native phytochrome sequence in order to minimize the possibility of structural perturbation or loss of phytochrome activity. The ten amino acids at the extreme C-terminus of ASPHYA-ST constitute the minimal strep-tag sequence required for interaction  
30 with streptavidin. This peptide was able to bind to streptavidin in a competitive manner with respect to biotin binding (Schmidt and Skerra (1993) *Prot. Eng.* 6: 109-122). This reversible interaction has been exploited for the commercial development of a streptavidin based

affinity purification system for tagged proteins (Biometra). The five amino acid linker between the last native phytochrome amino acid and the Strep Tag in ASPHYA-ST is a result of the subcloning procedure.

Although the Strep-Tag system was developed for expression and purification from *E. coli*, higher yields of phytochrome were obtained from *S. cerevisiae*. The majority of the soluble ASPHYA-ST expressed in bacteria was found in fragments smaller than 120 kDa on western blots probed with phytochrome antibodies. However, expression in yeast from the pMacphyAST plasmid (Figure 2) yielded primarily full length ASPHYA-ST. Low yields and instability of oat phytochrome expressed in bacteria were observed for a number of native length phytochrome plasmid constructs as well as for the MBP and GST fusions. However, as with ASPHYA-ST, expression of these proteins in yeast results in primarily full length protein and higher yields. Yields of ASPHYA-ST reported here compare favorably to published yields for native length phytochrome expressed in *S. cerevisiae* (Kunkel *et al.* (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.* 270: 20193-20200). Recently yields have been shown to be ten fold higher than those obtained for *S. cerevisiae* expression systems.

The binding of ASPHYA-ST from the resolubilized and cleared ammonium sulfate pellet (ASP) to streptavidin-agarose occurs with an efficiency of 86%. Single step purification of ASPHYA-ST from the yeast soluble fraction is possible with streptavidin agarose, however addition of an ammonium sulfate precipitation step was found to improve the purification efficiency. The primary advantage of ammonium sulfate precipitation is concentration of the ASPHYA-ST protein and increase in its specific activity. A second advantage is the increased proteolytic stability of ASPHYA-ST in the ASP fraction relative to the soluble fraction. This is important since the ASPHYA-ST sample must be incubated at room temperature with the appropriate chromophore precursor for 40 minutes prior to affinity chromatography for full assembly to occur. Chromophore assembly was performed at this stage to allow separation of free chromophore from holophytochrome during the affinity chromatography step. Avidin preincubation was performed during chromophore assembly to block biotinylated proteins from binding the streptavidin agarose in the subsequent affinity chromatography step. The strep-tag will not interact with avidin and is therefore free to bind the immobilized streptavidin. Using the above conditions a binding capacity of 200 µg ASPHYA-ST per milliliter bed volume of Sigma brand streptavidin-agarose was observed.

ASPHYA-ST is eluted from the affinity column with diaminobiotin which binds reversibly to streptavidin. A centrifugal concentrator is used to both concentrate

ASPHYA-ST and to transfer the protein to a buffer containing ethylene glycol which is a cryoprotectant. The dilute nature of the eluate made assessment of specific activity and percent yield difficult to measure for this fraction. Therefore the combined efficiency of the elution and concentration step was measured, giving 53% recovery from the bound fraction.

5 Thus the overall efficiency of the affinity chromatography step was 45%. The reversible nature of biotin binding to the immobilized streptavidin facilitates re-use of the column. Similar chromatographic efficiency was found between a first and second use of streptavidin agarose. The column was regenerated by washing with 20 column volumes of buffer W and stored at 4°C in buffer W containing 0.02% azide.

10 Affinity purified ASPHYA-ST adducts are full length and pure to apparent homogeneity. Lanes on a Coomassie blue stained polyacrylamide gel were loaded with equivalent quantities of spectrophotometrically detected phytochrome. The reduction of total protein in each lane demonstrated the degree of purity achieved by ammonium sulfate precipitation and affinity chromatography. The purified ASPHYA-ST, had the same mobility  
15 as phytochrome purified from oats indicating that purified recombinant phytochrome is full length. The purity of ASPHYA-ST was demonstrated in one lane of the gel which showed no visible impurities despite a load of 1 mg pure ASPHYA-ST. Similar analysis with silver stained gels indicate no protein impurities in the affinity purified fractions.

Apoprotein and all three chromophore adducts yield similar purity and  
20 proteolytic stability as judged from PAGE analysis. A second measure of phytochrome purity is the specific absorbance ratio (SAR) between the P<sub>450</sub> absorbance peak intensity and the protein peak intensity at 280 nm for spectra obtained after illumination with saturating red light. An SAR of 1.0-1.1 has been shown to indicate the best purity for phytochrome isolated from oats to date (Lapko and Song (1995) *supra*). The SAR for affinity purified ASPHYA-  
25 ST-PΦB ranges from 0.71-0.81. The reduced SAR for pure ASPHYA-ST may be due to the addition of a single tryptophan, within the strep-tag peptide, to the ten tryptophans already present in the native phytochrome sequence. Since tryptophan has the most significant molar absorption coefficient at 280 nm among the 20 amino acids (Segel, I. H. (1976) *Biochemical calculations*, second ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York) it was expected that tryptophan in  
30 the strep-tag contributed approximately 10% to the 280 nm peak therefore reducing the SAR of ASPHYA-ST by roughly 10%. Further small contributions to the 280 nm peak and reduction in SAR may occur due to an extra histidine and phenylalanine in the Strep-Tag.

Contribution of un-assembled phytochrome to the lower SAR cannot be completely dismissed but are most likely insignificant.

Photochemical comparison f ASPHYA(PΦB)-ST to oat phyA.

An important concern, with regard to the strep-tagged phytochrome, is that

- 5 ASPHYA(PΦB)-ST have structure and function characteristics similar to native phyA. The well studied spectrophotometric properties of oat phytochrome A provide a sensitive method for comparison between the recombinant and native photoreceptor. The raw P<sub>r</sub> and P<sub>f</sub> spectra shown in Figure 3A and the difference spectra in Figure 3D (dotted line) are visually indistinguishable from similar spectra for native phytochrome. A more quantitative  
10 comparison is provided in Table 1. For recombinant and native photoreceptor the

15 **Table 1.** Comparative properties of photoreversible adducts of recombinant phytochrome and phytochrome purified from oats. The parameter  $A_{\lambda}^{P_f \text{ max}}/A_{\text{red shoulder}}$  represents the ratio of absorbance of the far red maximum of P<sub>f</sub> to the absorbance of the red shoulder for the same spectrum. The parameter  $A_{\lambda}^{P_f \text{ max}}/A_{\lambda}^{P_r \text{ max}}$  represents the ratio of peak maxima on the P<sub>r</sub> and P<sub>f</sub> spectra respectively. The parameter  $\Delta A_{\text{max}}/\Delta A_{\text{min}}$  refers to the ratio of the absorbance values of the peak maxima to the peak minima for the difference spectrum. \*Data from Lagarias *et al.* (1987)  
*Photochem. and Photobiol.*, 46: 5-13.

| Absorption Spectra  | Native phytochrome* | PhyST-PΦB | PhyST-PCB |
|---|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| P <sub>r</sub> λ <sub>max</sub> (red)                         | 668                 | 668       | 654       |
| P <sub>r</sub> λ <sub>max</sub> (blue)                        | 381                 | 381       | 359       |
| P <sub>f</sub> λ <sub>max</sub> (red)                         | 730                 | 730       | 720       |
| P <sub>f</sub> λ <sub>max</sub> (blue)                        | 402                 | 400       | 377       |
| $A_{\lambda}^{P_f \text{ max}}/A_{\text{red shoulder}}$       | 1.33                | 1.47      | 1.36      |
| $A_{\lambda}^{P_f \text{ max}}/A_{\lambda}^{P_r \text{ max}}$ | 0.560               | 0.613     | 0.575     |
| <b>Difference Spectra</b>                                     |                     |           |           |
| λ(ΔA <sub>max</sub> )   | 668                 | 668       | 656       |
| λ(ΔA <sub>min</sub> )   | 732                 | 732       | 720       |
| $\Delta A_{\text{max}}/\Delta A_{\text{min}}$                 | 1.14                | 1.07      | 1.08      |

20

wavelengths of peak maxima and minima are comparable, within the 2 nm resolution of the spectrophotometer. Interestingly, the ratios  $A_{\lambda}^{P_f \text{ max}}/A_{\lambda}^{P_r \text{ max}}$  and  $A_{\lambda}^{P_f \text{ max}}/A_{\text{red shoulder}}$  are higher for recombinant than for native phytochrome. Thus a larger fraction of recombinant phytochrome populates the P<sub>f</sub> state at photoequilibrium than that seen for native oat

phytochrome. One possibility is that the recombinant phytochrome may contain different post-translational modifications from those present in native phytochrome. This becomes interesting in light of the observation that phytochrome can be phosphorylated differentially in the P<sub>r</sub> and P<sub>f</sub> states (Wong *et al.* (1986) *J. Biol. Chem.* 261: 12089-12097). It is possible  
5 that yeast cannot modify ASPHYA-ST because it is present as the apoprotein or because molecules required for modification of phytochrome are not present in yeast.

Recombinant ASPHYA(PΦB)-ST and native oat phyA display similar rates of phototransformation and dark reversion. Samples of purified yeast ASPHYA-ST and oat phytochrome A, diluted to similar optical density and irradiated with an equivalent fluence of  
10 actinic light, display superimposable rates of photoconversion (Figure 5). This strongly implies that the recombinant phytochrome has quantum efficiencies of phototransformation between the P<sub>r</sub> and P<sub>f</sub> states that are similar to those published for oat derived phytochrome A (Lagarias *et al.* (1987) *Photochem. and Photobiol.* 46: 5-13). Dark reversion of P<sub>f</sub> was not evident for ASPHYA-ST samples monitored over a 12 hour period. The preceding  
15 spectrophotometric analyses indicate that recombinant Strep-Tagged oat phytochrome A is photochemically similar to the native photoreceptor. Therefore ASPHYA-ST is a legitimate tool for study of phytochrome photochemistry and photophysics.

#### Spectrophotometric characterization of non-native adducts of ASPHYA-ST.

Previously PCB has been shown to covalently assemble with recombinant  
20 apoprotein to yield a photoreversible adduct (Wahleithner *et al.* (1991) *supra*). The PCB chromophore is similar in structure to the native chromophore with the exception of one less double bond in the conjugation system which results in the blue shifted difference spectrum. The PCB adduct is an important tool for phytochrome study because PCB is more readily available than the native chromophore precursor PΦB. For this reason the ASPHYA(PCB)-  
25 ST adduct was purified and spectrophotometrically characterized in this experiment.

The P<sub>r</sub> and P<sub>f</sub> spectra shown in Figure 3b have peak shapes similar to those of the PΦB adduct. The only visually noticeable difference from the native adduct is the blue shifted spectrum which is most evident in part D of Figure 3 where the difference spectra of the two adducts are compared. Table 1 provides a more quantitative comparison of  
30 ASPHYA(PCB)-ST to both the native oat phytochrome and the ASPHYA(PΦB)-ST. The blue shift, relative to the native adduct, is evident in both the red and blue peaks of the spectra. It is interesting that, for ASPHYA(PCB)-ST, the ratios A<sub>λ</sub><sup>Pf<sub>max</sub></sup>/A<sub>λ</sub><sup>P<sub>r</sub><sub>max</sub></sup> and A<sub>λ</sub><sup>Pf<sub>max</sub></sup>/A<sub>λ</sub><sub>red shoulder</sub> compare more favorably than ASPHYA(PΦB)-ST to native oat

phytochrome. Thus the PCB adduct achieves a photoequilibrium, under red light, that is similar to that of native phytochrome. The similarity in SAR of the PCB and PΦB adducts indicate that the molar absorption coefficients of the two adducts are similar.

Purification of apoASPHYA-ST benefits the study of phytochrome assembly  
5 and biochemistry. This experiment establishes that apoASPHYA-ST purified from *S.*  
*cerevisiae* is full length and assembly competent. Rates of assembly for pure ASPHYA-ST  
are similar to those previously published for native length recombinant apoprotein in the ASP  
fraction (Li *et al.* (1995) *supra*). The absorbance spectrum of apoASPHYA-ST is shown in  
Figure 3C. As expected the apoprotein contributes absorbance only in the 280 nm region,  
10 and all other absorbance peaks seen for native phytochrome can be attributed to the linear  
tetrapyrrole chromophore. The lack of photoreversibility in Figure 3D, and the absence of a  
signal on a zinc blot indicate that ASPHYA-ST expressed in *S. cerevisiae* is an apoprotein  
which has not assembled *in vivo*. This contrasts with the recent observation that phytochrome  
overexpressed in the methylotropic yeast, *Pichia pastoris* assembles with a PΦB-like  
15 chromophore *in vivo*.

Expression and purification of recombinant phytochrome from yeast allows  
introduction of novel functions in the photoreceptor, and convenient analysis of the  
engineered product. The ASPHYA(PEB)-ST adduct provides an example of novel function  
introduced into phytochrome. It was previously reported that this adduct is fluorescent, and  
20 this phenomenon was used to measure the rates of phytochrome assembly (Li *et al.* (1995)  
*supra*).

Figure 4 shows the absorbance and fluorescence spectra of ASPHYA(PEB)-  
ST. The absorbance displayed by the chromophore of the PEB adduct is much narrower than  
the P<sub>450</sub> peaks for the PΦB and PCB adducts. This indicates that the PEB chromophore is held  
25 more rigidly, having fewer degrees of freedom than the photoreversible chromophores. This  
is further supported by comparison of the SAR of the ASPHYA(PEB)-ST adduct (1.0) which  
is higher than that observed for ASPHYA(PΦB)-ST adduct (0.71-0.81). Assuming both  
chromophores assemble with equal efficiency this implies that the molar absorption  
coefficient of the PEB adduct will be higher than that published for phytochrome (Lagarias *et*  
30 *al.* (1987) *supra*). The absorbance spectra of PHYA(PEB)-ST is superimposable on the  
excitation spectrum indicating that this adduct is in fact responsible for the fluorescence  
phenomena reported previously (Li *et al.* (1995) *supra*). Photoreversibility for

ASPHYA(PEB)-ST is not spectrophotometrically detectable using actinic light in the red or green regions of the spectrum.

#### Molecular size analysis

The Strep-Tag provides a sensitive epitope for detection of ASPHYA-ST. In a western blot containing phytochrome purified from oats and the four forms of ASPHYA-ST discussed above, the commercially available streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate is able to detect all four ASPHYA-ST adducts but does not cross-react with native phytochrome devoid of the tag. The same blot was also visualized using previously described zinc blot protocols (Li and Lagarias (1992) *supra*). Comparison of the two blots indicates that the nature of the chromophore adduct affects the intensity of the zinc blot signal despite equal protein loading evident using streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase detection. The relative intensities of the zinc blot signal (PΦB<PCB<PEB) increase with decreasing length of the conjugation system in the chromophore which is expected since the blot is excited with UV light.

ASPHYA-ST purified from yeast is a dimer with quaternary structure in the P<sub>r</sub> and P<sub>f</sub> forms similar to that of native phytochrome. A summary of size exclusion chromatography data obtained for the four forms of ASPHYA-ST discussed above is presented in table 3. The P<sub>f</sub> forms of the photoreversible adducts (PΦB and PCB) have a larger apparent size than the P<sub>r</sub> forms which is consistent with results for native oat phyA in this study and in previously published work (Lagarias and Mercurio (1985) *J. Biol. Chem.* 260: 2415-2423).

Table 2. Molecular size analysis of purified ASPHYA-ST adducts. Native molecular sizes were estimated by size exclusion chromatography as described above. Size estimates which are out of range of the standard curve are indicated (\*). Molecular size of purified denatured phyST adducts were estimated by SDS PAGE analysis as described above.

| Phy Adduct      | MW PR<br>(kDa) | MW Pfr<br>(kDa) |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Oat phy A       | 295            | 513             |
| ASPHYA(PΦB)-ST  | 288            | 539             |
| ASPHYA (PCB)-ST | 319            | 757*            |
| ASPHYA (PEB)-ST | 361            | N/A             |
| apoASPHYA       | 361            | N/A             |

The PCB adduct is significantly larger in the P<sub>r</sub> form such that its size surpasses that of the largest molecular weight standard, thus its size cannot be accurately determined in this experiment. The P<sub>r</sub> forms of the nonphotoreversible adducts (apo and 5 PEB) are slightly larger than those of the photoreversible forms. This may be due to a more open conformation in the apo and PEB bound phytochromes relative to the native chromophore adduct. Size differences observed for ASPHYA-ST adducts using SEC appear to be due to higher order structure since PAGE analysis indicates no difference in size for denatured ASPHYA-ST adducts.

## 10 Conclusion

This example demonstrates that recombinant Strep-Tagged oat phytochrome A can be efficiently purified to homogeneity from the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The PΦB adduct of recombinant Strep-Tagged oat phytochrome A exhibits biochemical and spectrophotometric properties that are indistinguishable from those of phytochrome purified 15 from native oats. Other adducts including apoASPHYA-ST, ASPHYA(PCB)-ST and ASPHYA(PEB)-ST can be purified to apparent homogeneity. Purification of these bilin adducts enhances the utility of recombinant expression systems for the modification and analysis of phytochrome structure and analysis of functional consequences. Accurate characterization of phytochrome with engineered functions is facilitated by the ability to 20 purify ASPHYA-ST. For example it was shown here that the fluorescent excitation spectrum and absorption spectrum of the purified ASPHYA(PEB)-ST adduct are superimposable indicating that the phytochrome-PEB adduct is the exclusive fluorescent species observed in earlier experiments.

25

## EXAMPLE 2

### METHOD FOR ASSAYING RECOMBINANT APOPROTEIN POLYPEPTIDES

This example describes an expression system in the yeast, *Pichia pastoris*, that biosynthesizes phytochromobilin. By transfecting the yeast with nucleic acids encoding apoprotein polypeptides, *Pichia pastoris* can be used to test various modified apoproteins for 30 desired properties, for instance the ability to form a fluorescent adduct with phytochromobilin.

Materials and Methods.Plasmid construction for ap protein expression.

A full length phytochrome cDNA from the *mcphylb* gene of the green alga *Mesotaenium caldariorum* was constructed by RT-PCR of poly A<sup>+</sup> mRNA obtained from 5 algal protoplasts (Lagarias *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 29:1127-1142 (1995)). The stop codon of the *mcphylb* cDNA in pBluescript SK+ (Stratagene, La Jolla CA) was then mutagenized to create an *Xho*I site. A 3.5 kbp *Xho*I fragment containing the full length *mcphylb* cDNA was subcloned into the *Sal*II site of the vector pASK75 (Biometra, Tampa FL) to create construct 10 pMCPHYlbST with an in frame fusion between *mcphylb* and the Strept-Tag peptide (Schmidt *et al.* *J. Chromatog.* 676:337-345 (1994)). A 3.5 kbp *Xho*I-*Hind*III fragment of pMCPHYlbST was transferred to pBluescript SK+ to create flanking *Eco*RI sites. This 15 *Eco*RI fragment was then subcloned into the *Pichia* expression vector pHIL-D2 (Invitrogen, San Diego CA) for intracellular protein expression in *Pichia pastoris* cells.

*Pichia pastoris* cell culture.

15 A *Pichia pastoris* strain GS115-MCPHYlbST containing algal phytochrome cDNA integrated into the *AOX1* locus was isolated by electroporation of parent strain GS115 (his4, Mut<sup>r</sup>) and selection according to the manufacturer's directions (Invitrogen). Several individual colonies of this strain were inoculated into 10 ml liquid MGY medium (1.34% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 1% glycerol, 0.4 mg/L biotin) and grown for 20 approximately 16-20 hours at 30 C with shaking at 300 rpm. When the OD<sub>600</sub> reached 2-6, 5-6 ml of the culture was used to inoculate 1 L MGY medium in a 2.8 L Fernbach flask and incubated at 30 C with shaking for 16-20 hours. Induction of foreign protein expression was performed when an OD<sub>600</sub> between 2 and 6 was observed. At this point, cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 200 ml MM medium (1.34% yeast nitrogen base without 25 amino acids, 0.5% methanol, 0.4 mg/L biotin) and transferred to a sterile 2.8 L Fernbach flask. Cells were allowed to grow with shaking at 30 C for up to 4 days; each day 100% methanol was added to give a final concentration of 0.5%. As controls, the *Pichia* strains GS115-Albumin (HIS4, Mut<sup>r</sup>) and GS115 (Invitrogen) were cultured in the identical way as above except that 40 mg/ml histidine was added to all culture media for the latter. After the 30 appropriate induction period, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4 C and washed once with ice cold deionized water. Washed cell pellets were either subjected to cell lysis immediately or frozen with liquid N<sub>2</sub> and stored at -20 C for later analysis.

Soluble protein extraction from yeast cells.

Thawed yeast cells were lysed with a Bead-Beater (Biospec Products, Bartlesville OK) after suspending 12-15 g cells in 8-12 ml ice cold homogenization buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 1% mM EGTA, 1% (v/v) 2-- mercaptoethanol, 1% (v/v) DMSO, 1.5 µg/ml leupeptin, 3 µg/ml pepstatin A, 1 mM benzamidine and 1 mM PMSF). Three to four 30-40 sec pulses were used for cell homogenization with cooling in a dry ice-ethanol bath for 1 min between each pulse. The crude homogenate was clarified by ultracentrifugation at 100,000 x g at 4 C for 40 min and the soluble protein fraction decanted from the pellet. For small scale soluble protein extraction, frozen cells were lysed with the same buffer using a Mini-Bead Beater (Biospec Products) for five 1-min pulses with cooling on ice between each pulse.

Spectrophotometric phytochrome assays.

*In vivo* spectrophotometric measurements of holophytochrome in *Pichia* strain GS115-MCPHYlbST were obtained with an Aviv/Cary 14DS UV-visible spectrophotometer as described previously (Li *et al.* *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 91:12535-12539 (1994)). For *in vitro* spectrophotometric assays, ammonium sulfate was added to the soluble protein fraction to a concentration of 0.23 g/ml. The mixture was incubated on ice for at least 1 hour and the precipitate collected by centrifugation for 20 min at 17,000 x g at 4 C. The protein pellets were dissolved in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 containing 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT and 1 mM PMSF. Holophytochrome concentrations were determined with a HP8450A UV/visible spectrophotometer using the absorbance difference assay (Li *et al.* *J. Biol. Chem.* 267:19204-19210 (1992)). For *in vitro* assembly studies, 3E-phycocyanobilin (PCB) or 3E-phytobilin (PΦB) was added to 4 µM and incubated at 28 C under green safe light for 30 min prior to spectrophotometric measurements.

SDS-PAGE, zinc-blot and immunoblot analyses.

Protein samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE with the Laemmli buffer system. After electrophoresis, proteins were electrophoretically transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Immobilon P, Millipore) for 1 h at 100 V. This membrane was then used for zinc-blot and immunoblot analyses as described previously (Wahleithner *et al.* (1991)). For immunoblot detection, recombinant phytochrome was probed with alkaline phosphatase conjugated streptavidin (Amersham) and developed as described previously ((Wahleithner *et al.* (1991)). For time course experiments, bilin-linked proteins bound to

PVDF membranes were detected by direct zinc-dependent fluorescence and phytochrome was quantitated immunochemically by chemiluminescence using a Molecular Dynamics Storm 860 instrument and image Quant software. The excitation wavelength used for zinc fluorescence measurements was 635 nm and the PMT setting was 1000. For chemiluminescence detection,

5 PVDF membranes were developed with Vistra ECF Western blotting reagent pack (Amersham) and the fluorescence was detected at 540-560 nm with excitation at 450 nm.

**PΦB synthase assays.**

For a standard 1 ml assay mixture, soluble protein extracts (730 µl) were used as enzyme source. The reaction mixture consists of an NADPH regenerating system (6.5 mM glucose-6-phosphate, 0.82 mM NADP<sup>+</sup> and 1.1 units/ml glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase), 10 µM BSA, 4.6 µM spinach ferredoxin and 0.025 units/ml ferredoxin-NADP<sup>+</sup> reductase (all final concentrations). The reaction was initiated by adding BV in DMSO to give a final concentration of 10 µM BV and 1% (v/v) DMSO. Reaction mixtures were incubated at 28-30 C under green safe light for 30 min. Bilins synthesized in the reaction were partially purified on a C18 Sep-Pak cartridge (Waters-Millipore Corp., Milford MA) as described (Terry *et al.* (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.*, 270: 11111-11118). Crude bilins were analyzed by C18 reversed phase HPLC using a Varian 5000 liquid chromatography and a Phenomenex Ultracarb 5µ ODS20 column (4.6 mm x 250 mm) with a 4.6 mm x 30 mm guard column of the same material. The mobile phase was 50:50 (v:v) acetone: 10 mM formic acid in water. The column eluate was monitored at 380 nm with a Varian UV100 flow through absorbance detector.

**Results.**

**Expression of algal phytochrome yields photochromic green yeast.**

*Pichia pastoris* strain GS115-MCPHYlbST containing an integrated algal phytochrome cDNA became increasingly light green in color over a 4 day induction period. In contrast, cells obtained from methanol-induced cultures of parent strain GS115 and GS115-Albumin in which extracellular expression of serum albumin was placed under control of the *AOX1* promoter appeared off-white. Since the pale green color of the apoprotein-expressing cells was retained in the clarified protein extract following homogenization and ultracentrifugation, the extracts were assayed for photochemically active phytochrome. A phytochrome-like difference spectrum was observed with absorption

maximum and minimum at 660 nm and 730 nm, respectively. No phytochrome-like difference spectrum was observed in control assays of soluble extracts from the parent strain GS115 and the GS115-derived *Pichia* strains expressing albumin.

The photochromic activity observed in algal phytochrome-expressing yeast 5 cells is correlated with the formation of a covalent bilin-apoprotein adduct. The presence of an orange fluorescent species in the zinc blot of these cultures and its absence in the control indicates that the production of the bilin adduct is dependent upon apoprotein expression. Immunoblot analyses confirm that the fluorescent 120 kDa adduct corresponded to the 10 phytochrome polypeptide. Since phytochrome assembly proceeds spontaneously when bilin precursors and apoprotein are co-incubated (*Lagarias et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86:5778-5780 (1989)), these results indicate that *Pichia pastoris* cells manufacture a functional precursor of the phytochrome chromophore.

The time course of the formation of the bilin-apoprotein adduct during induction of apoprotein expression with methanol was next addressed. Levels of both 15 apoprotein and holophytochrome were determined using immunochemical and zinc-dependent fluorescence methodologies. In the experiment shown in Figure 3, apoprotein accumulates rapidly and reaches a saturating level within the first two days of induction. By comparison, the amount of bilin ligation continuously increases for the four day period whereupon nearly 50% of the phytochrome had received a bilin chromophore. These results 20 are consistent with the time dependency of the formation of the green pigmentation of the apoprotein-expressing *Pichia* strain and also show that the synthesis of apoprotein initially exceeds the capacity of the cells to manufacture chromophore.

Since other researchers have expressed recombinant phytochrome in the yeast *Pichia pastoris* but have not reported photoactive phytochrome synthesis, the possibility of an 25 algal or bacterial contaminant in the cultures was considered. In this regard, an unidentified green contaminant has been observed in *Pichia* suspension cultures. A number of approaches were taken to address this possibility. First, differential sedimentation failed to reveal two types of cell populations; indeed, cell pellets were uniformly pale green in appearance. Second, apoprotein-expressing cultures were grown and induced in the presence of 100 µg/ml 30 ampicillin to inhibit the growth of a bacterial contaminant. Soluble protein extracts prepared from these cells also contained photoactive phytochrome. Third, apoprotein-expressing cells from induced suspension cultures were examined by phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy. No evidence for other types of microorganisms were observed by these

investigations. The cell line was also streaked out on a wide variety of bacterial media, and on all media examined where any growth was observed, only a single colony morphology was observed. Fourth, spectrophotometric measurements were undertaken on living cells to assuage the possibility that assembly had occurred *in vitro* following homogenization of 5 *Pichia* cells and a potential cell contaminant. Based on these observations, it was concluded that *Pichia pastoris* cells can synthesize a phytochrome chromophore precursor.

The recombinant algal phytochrome chromophore precursor is phytochromobilin.

Since the difference spectrum of the *Pichia* bilin adduct is nearly 10 indistinguishable from that of phytochrome A isolated from higher plants, these studies suggest that *Pichia* cells synthesize phytochromobilin (PΦB), the natural phytochrome chromophore precursor. Support for this conclusion was first obtained from experiments to reconstitute recombinant algal apoprotein in crude protein extracts with the chromophore precursors, PΦB and its analog phycocyanobilin (PCB). These experiments were 15 complicated by prior assembly of apoprotein with the endogenous bilin pigment in *Pichia* cells. For this reason, the experimentally measured difference spectrum of *Pichia* protein extracts incubated with bilins reflects both *in vivo* and *in vitro* assembly. Difference spectra of PΦB- and PCB-apoprotein adducts could be obtained by subtracting the difference spectrum of the pre-assembled adduct from that obtained after *in vitro* bilin incubation. In 20 this particular experiment, half of the apoprotein had pre-assembled with the *Pichia* bilin based upon a roughly two-fold increase in spectrophotometrically active phytochrome after *in vitro* bilin incubation. The wavelength positions of the difference maxima and minimum of the PΦB-adduct are quite similar to those of the photochromic species obtained directly from *Pichia* cells although the spectrum of the PCB-adduct is blue-shifted. Since a similar 10 nm 25 blue shift is observed when PCB is substituted in place of PΦB for *in vitro* assembly with recombinant oat apoprotein A, the spectral data strongly supports the hypothesis that the *Pichia* pigment is PΦB.

Additional support for this hypothesis was obtained by assaying *Pichia* cell extracts for PΦB synthase, the enzyme responsible for the conversion of biliverdin IX $\alpha$  to 30 3Z-PΦB (Terry *et al.* (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.* 270:11111-11118). Soluble protein extract from the parent *Pichia* strain GS115 contain the activity necessary for converting BV to PΦB as detected by its functional assembly with recombinant oat apoprotein. A similar activity is present in extracts from both apoprotein- and albumin-expressing *Pichia* cell lines. These

results demonstrate that apoprotein is not a prerequisite for the expression of this activity in *Pichia* cells. HPLC analyses also support the conclusion that BV is converted to both 3Z- and 3E-PΦB by an activity found in *Pichia* extracts. BV is converted to pigments that co-elute with the two phytochromobilin isomers. Both pigments yield photoactive

- 5 holophytochrome following HPLC purification and *in vitro* assembly with oat apoprotein A from *S. cerevisiae*. In addition, these analyses demonstrate that neither pigment is produced if *Pichia* protein extract is omitted from the assay mixture.

Interestingly, heat inactivation treatment for 5 min at 100°C failed to inhibit the PΦB synthase activity found in the *Pichia* extract. Since PΦB synthase from higher plants is heat sensitive, these results suggest that the *Pichia* enzyme is quite different from the plant enzyme or alternatively, that BV can be non-enzymatically converted to PΦB by a *Pichia* factor. In view of the chemical structure of PΦB however, it is difficult to envisage a non-enzymatic mechanism for the conversion of BV to PΦB. Taken together with the observation that the activity can be recovered following precipitation with ammonium sulfate, 15 the data indicate that an enzyme is responsible for the synthesis of PΦB in *Pichia* cells.

### EXAMPLE 3

#### RECONSTITUTION AND FLUORESCENCE OF PHYTOFLUORS *IN VIVO*

##### Materials and Methods

###### Phycoerythrobilin (PEB) Isolation.

- 20 PEB was isolated from acetone-treated *Porphyridium cruentum* cells (Cornejo, *et al.* (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.*, 267:14790-14798) or from *Porphyra yezoensis ueda* (nori) under dim light as follows. Freeze dried nori (5g) was frozen with liquid nitrogen, pulverized by mortar and pestle, and extracted twice with 200 ml de-ionized water. The dark purple liquid was filtered through cheese cloth, precipitated at 4°C with 65% saturated  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ , and 25 centrifuged at 17,000 x g for 20 min. The protein pellet was resuspended in 100 ml of methanol containing 200 mg  $\text{HgCl}_2$  and refluxed under nitrogen at 45°C with stirring for 16-24 hours in the dark. Centrifugation at 17,000 x g for 20 min yielded a blue-green soluble fraction from which mercury was removed by addition of 200 l 2-mercaptoethanol followed by re-centrifugation. PEB was isolated from the soluble fraction by solid phase extraction and 30 HPLC purified (Wu *et al* (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 93: 8989-8994).

Recombinant Phytochrome Expression and Purification.

Strep-Tagged ASPHYA was expressed in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain pMASPHYA-ST/29A and the 40% ammonium sulfate-precipitated (ASP) protein fraction obtained as described previously (Murphy *et al.* (1997) *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 65: 750-758). Strep-Tagged MCPHY was expressed in *Pichia pastoris* strain GS115-MCPHY1bST and the ASP protein fraction obtained as described previously (Wu *et al.* (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA*, 93: 8989-8994) except that cells were induced under white light with a fluence rate of 67  $\mu\text{M m}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-2}$ . The amino terminal 514 residue fragment of *Synechocystis* sp PCC6803 phytochrome (N514) was expressed in *E. coli* strain pASKN514/DH5 $\alpha$ . Cells were lysed in a French pressure cell at 10,000 psi and the 40% ASP fraction obtained (Murphy *et al.* (1997) *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 65: 750-758). Resolubilized ASP fractions for ASPHYA, MCPHY1 and N514 were incubated with 5-10 M PEB for 1 h at 25°C and purified by streptavidin-agarose affinity chromatography (*Id.*). Purified phytochrome was stored in TEGED buffer (25 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0, 25 % ethylene glycol, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT) at -80°C until further analysis.

Absorbance and Fluorescence Spectrophotometry .

All instruments were equipped with temperature controlled cuvette holders and samples were maintained at 25°C. Absorption spectra were obtained using an HP8453 UV-visible spectrophotometer. Corrected fluorescence excitation, emission, and polarization spectra were obtained with an SLM Aminco Bowman AB2 fluorimeter. Monochrometers were adjusted to 2 nm bandpass for all fluorescence measurements on the AB2. Phase-modulated fluorescence lifetime measurements utilized an ISS Inc. multifrequency cross-correlation fluorimeter with 20 modulation frequencies ranging from 5 MHz to 220 MHz, a cross correlation frequency of 80 MHz, 545 nm excitation (8 nm bandwidth) and 580 nm long pass emission filters.

Molar Absorption Coefficient Determinations.

Affinity-purified phytofluors were exchanged into 25 mM N-methylmorpholine acetate buffer pH 7.8 using steric exclusion-HPLC (Murphy *et al.* (1997)

*Photochem. Photobiol.*, 65: 750-758). Following absorption spectra determination, triplicate samples were removed, lyophilized, and acid hydrolyzed for 24 hr prior to quantitation with a Beckman 6300 amino acid analyzer at the UCD Protein Structure Lab. Molar recoveries of Asp, Glu, Pro, Gly, Ala, Val, Ile, Leu, Tyr, Phe, Lys, and Arg were used to determine protein

5 concentration.

#### Fluorescence Quantum Yield Determination.

Fluorescence quantum yield measurements for phytofluors were made relative to both purified *Porphyridium cruentum* B-PE and fluorescein (Molecular Probes, Inc.; Cat. No F-1300). Quantum yield measurements for free PEB were made relative to fluorescein.

10 Affinity-purified phytofluors were diluted in TEGED buffer to  $A_{535}=0.01-0.02$ , PEB was diluted to peak absorbance of 0.04-0.05 in 10 mM sodium-succinate pH 4.0 or 10 mM sodium-glycinate pH 10.0, B-PE was diluted to  $A_{535}=0.01-0.02$  in 100 mM sodium phosphate pH 6.8, and fluorescein was diluted to  $A_{450}=0.01-0.02$  in 0.1 N NaOH. Emission spectra were obtained by excitation at the respective wavelengths listed above, instrument-corrected, converted to wavenumber scale, and bandpass-corrected by multiplying emission intensity at each wavelength by the square of the respective wavelength (Lakowicz (1983) *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*. Pp 1-496, Plenum Press, New York). The resulting emission spectra were integrated and the relative quantum yield calculated according to Parker *et al.* (1960) *The Analyst*, 85: 587-600. Fluorescence quantum yield estimates used values of  $\Phi_f=0.98$  for B-PE (Grabowski *et al.* (1978) *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 28: 39-45) and  $\Phi_f=0.85$  for fluorescein (Parker *et al.* (1960) *supra*).

#### Photobleaching Measurements.

ASPHYA-PEB, B-PE, and fluorescein samples were diluted into 2 ml of the appropriate buffer (listed above) to give a final peak optical density of 0.06. Samples were maintained at 25°C in stirred 1 cm x 1 cm quartz cuvettes, and absorbance values obtained at 10 min intervals for samples irradiated with white light using a quartz halogen actinic light source described previously (Kelly *et al.* (1983) *J. Biol. Chem.*, 258: 11025-11031) or maintained in complete darkness. The absorbance peak at each time point was divided by the initial absorbance, multiplied by 10 and the logarithm calculated to obtain the Y axis values.

Linear regressions were fitted to the data obtained under white light yielding  $r^2$  values of 0.903 for ASPHYA-PEB, 0.997 for B-PE, and 0.998 for fluorescein. Photobleaching rates were adjusted for relative absorption cross-section and relative actinic light intensity by conversion of the absorbance spectra to the wavenumber scale, multiplying the absorbance at 5 each wavenumber by the intensity of actinic light, and integrating the area under the resulting spectra.

**pH Dependence of ASPHYA Stability.**

Solutions used for assays contained 25% ethylene glycol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT and 25 mM of one of the following buffers: MES-KOH pH 5.5-6.5, MOPS-KOH pH 10 6.5-7.5, Tris-HCl pH 7.5-8.5, or Glycine-KOH pH 8.5-10.0. ASPHYA-PEB in TEGED pH 8.0 was diluted at least 10 fold into the respective buffer, incubated for 2 hours in the dark at 25°C, centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 10 min, and the soluble fraction used for absorbance and fluorescence spectroscopy.

**Confocal Microscopy of Arabidopsis Seedlings.**

15 *Hy1*, L-*er* wild type and *hy1 phyA phyB* triple mutant seedlings were germinated in complete darkness and grown for 4 days as described (Lagarias *et al.* (1997) *Plant Cell*, 9: 675-788). Representative seedlings of each plant line were placed on a microscope slide and bathed in a freshly prepared solution of 200 M PEB in 10 mM PIPES buffer pH 6.7 containing 10% (v/v) DMSO. After 10-15 min incubation, seedlings were 20 extensively washed with 10 mM PIPES buffer pH 6.7 and placed under a coverslip. Fluorescence images were obtained using Zeiss LSM 410 Confocal Microscope with 568 nm argon-krypton laser excitation and dual channel emission detectors filtered with a 590-610 nm bandpass filter (580 dichroic mirror) and a 670-810 nm bandpass filter (630 nm dichroic mirror).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION****Phyt flu rs are readily reconstituted *in vitro*.**

Incubation of PEB with full length recombinant oat apophytochrome A yielded a covalently bound, orange fluorophore, termed ASPHYA-PEB (Li *et al.* (1995) *Biochem.* 34: 7923-7930; Murphy *et al.* (1997) *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 65: 750-758.). To test whether phytofluors could be produced from evolutionarily distant photosynthetic organisms, recombinant apophytochromes from the green alga *Mesotaenium caldarium* and the cyanobacteria *Synechocystis* sp PCC6803 were incubated with PEB and purified to homogeneity. Full length constructs were employed for ASPHYA-PEB and *Mesotaenium* phytochrome-PEB (MCPHY1-PEB) adducts. An N-terminal 514 amino acid fragment of the cyanobacterial phytochrome (N514) was investigated because of its smaller size, monomeric structure, and ability to assemble with PΦB to produce a photoactive adduct indistinguishable from the full length construct. Like ASPHYA, incubation of MCPHY1 and N514 with PEB yielded covalent bilin adducts.

Table 3. Comparison of photophysical properties of common fluorescent probes in aqueous solution. Column headings are  $\lambda_{ex}^{\max}$  for excitation maxima,  $\lambda_{em}^{\max}$  for emission maxima,  $\epsilon$  for molar absorption coefficient,  $\Phi_f$  for fluorescent quantum yield. The brightness is obtained by multiplying  $\epsilon \times \Phi_f$ . All values are based on monomers or single molecules, except for B-PE values which are based on the  $(\alpha\beta)\gamma$  subunit.

| Probe               | $\lambda_{ex}^{\max}$<br>(nm) | $\lambda_{em}^{\max}$<br>(nm) | $\epsilon(\lambda^{\max})$<br>(M <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | $\Phi_f$ | Brightness<br>$\epsilon(\lambda^{\max}) \times \Phi_f$ | Relative<br>Brightness |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|----------|--|------------------------|
| B-PE                | 545                           | 575                           | $2.41 \times 10^6$  | 0.98     | $2.4 \times 10^6$                                      | 20.9                   |
| ASPHYA-PEB          | 576                           | 586                           | $1.13 \times 10^5$<br>( $1.65 \times 10^5$ )                      | 0.70     | $7.9 \times 10^4$<br>( $1.15 \times 10^5$ )            | 1.0<br>1.43            |
| MCPHY-PEB           | 574                           | 583                           | $7.76 \times 10^4$  | 0.82     | $6.4 \times 10^4$                                      | 0.80                   |
| N514-PEB            | 580                           | 590                           | $8.54 \times 10^4$  | 0.72     | $6.1 \times 10^4$                                      | 0.76                   |
| Fluorescein         | 490                           | 530                           | $8.8 \times 10^4$   | 0.85     | $7.5 \times 10^4$                                      | 0.95                   |
| <i>Aequoria</i> GFP | 395 (475)                     | 508                           | $3.0 \times 10^4$   | 0.85     | $2.6 \times 10^4$                                      | 0.33                   |
| <hr/>               |                               |                               |   |          |  |                        |
| Free PEB            |                               |                               |   |          |  |                        |
| pH 4                | 590                           | 617                           | $2.06 \times 10^4$  | 0.003    | $6.18 \times 10^1$                                     | 0.0008                 |
| pH 10               | 536                           | 616                           | $1.64 \times 10^4$  | 0.008    | $1.31 \times 10^2$                                     | 0.0017                 |

All three PEB adducts were strongly fluorescent (Figure 7 and Table 3) and, although they exhibited slightly different absorption and emission maxima, they had very similar optical properties including narrow excitation and emission envelopes, mirror image symmetry between excitation and emission spectra, and small (*i.e.* 9-10 nm) Stoke's shifts.

- 5 The fluorescence polarization spectrum of ASPHYA-PEB exhibited constant angular displacement of emission across the entire absorption envelope, indicating that the shoulder to the blue of the absorption maximum represented a vibrational sideband rather than a separate electronic transition (Lakowicz (1983) *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*. Pp 1-496, Plenum Press, New York). Phase-modulated fluorescence lifetime ( $\tau$ ) measurements  
10 revealed that ASPHYA-PEB excited state decay best fit a Lorentzian distribution with one major component (92%) having a 1.82 nsec lifetime. This measurement compared favorably with the parallel analysis of B-phycoerythrin (B-PE) which also exhibited one major component (92%) with a 2.26 nsec lifetime. Taken together, these data show that a single PEB adduct is produced upon co-incubation of this bilin with apophytochrome, and that the  
15 PEB chromophore is rigidly bound to all three phytofluor proteins in a similar chemical environment and conformation.

**Phytofluors are intensely fluorescent.**

Using quantitative amino acid analysis, the molar absorption coefficient ( $\epsilon_{PEB}$ ) for the ASPHYA-PEB monomer was estimated to be  $113,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at 576 nm. Similar analyses performed with MCPHY1-PEB and N514-PEB yielded slightly smaller  $\epsilon_{PEB}$  values, albeit larger than that of GFP (Table 1). Since these  $\epsilon_{PEB}$  values were based on protein quantitation, they represent a "practical" molar absorption coefficient which underestimates the actual value if some fraction of the phytochrome molecules had not assembled with PEB. An alternative determination of the molar absorption coefficient of  
25 ASPHYA-PEB was made per bound PEB molecule by comparison of the ratio of visible (chromophore) absorbance maximum to UV (protein) absorbance maximum for native phytochrome, ASPHYA-PΦB and ASPHYA-PEB. This method relies on the assumptions that PEB assembles with recombinant apophytochrome to the same extent as PΦB, and that

the molar absorption coefficients of the PΦB chromophore is identical for native and recombinant oat phytochrome A (*i.e.* 132,000 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>, Kelly *et al.* (1987) *In Vitro*.

*Photochemistry and Photobiology*, 46: 5-13). Using this method,  $\epsilon_{PEB}$  was estimated to be 165,000 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> per PEB chromophore.

5       Fluorescence quantum yields ( $\Phi\epsilon_f$ ) of the three phytofluors were determined relative to B-PE and fluorescein standards. The three phytofluors are intensely fluorescent, with values for  $\Phi_f \geq 0.7$  (Table 1). By contrast,  $\Phi_f$  for free PEB ranged from 0.003 to 0.008 depending upon pH (Table 1; data not shown). Phytofluor  $\Phi_f$  was also independent of excitation wavelength (data not shown), thus confirming that a single fluorescent species was  
10 present in each phytofluor preparation. Since fluorescent quantum yield and lifetime are directly proportional, the  $\Phi_f/\tau_f$  ratio could be directly compared for B-PE and ASPHYA-  
PEB, assuming that PEB has the same intrinsic lifetime when bound to both proteins. Using lifetimes determined from phase modulated fluorimetry (above) and  $\Phi_f=0.98$  for B-PE Grabowski *et al.* (1978) *Photochem. Photobiol.* 28: 39-45),  $\Phi_f=0.78$  was calculated for the  
15 ASPHYA-PEB. The error between measured and calculated values in this case is consistent with those accepted for relative quantum yield measurements.

The brightness of a fluorophore depends both on the quantity of light absorbed (ε) and the fraction of that light which is emitted ( $\Phi_f$ ), and thus determines its utility as a probe. Table 3 shows that brightness of the phytofluors compare favorably to other  
20 commonly used fluorescent probes. Since ASPHYA-PEB and MCPHY-PEB are homodimers, these phytofluors will be 2-fold brighter than the values listed in Table 1 which are based on the PHY-PEB monomer. Both the long wavelength emission of the phytofluors and the increased intrinsic brightness relative to GFP represent useful attributes of this new class of fluorophore.

25       Phytofluors are pH- and photo-stable probes.

ASPHYA-PEB absorbance and fluorescence intensities were found to be quite stable from pH 6.5 to 9.5 (Fig. 8A and 8B). The peak shapes of absorbance and fluorescence spectra at each pH were also unperturbed (data not shown). By contrast, free PEB displayed

variable absorption and fluorescence spectra in this pH range (Table 3; data not shown).

These results indicate that the PEB fluorophore is shielded from interactions with the bulk solvent when bound within the chromophore pocket of apophytochrome. In this regard, phytofluors may be an ideal choice for applications requiring uniform detection in variable

5 pH environments, as opposed to many small molecule fluorophores (Haugland (1996)

*Handbook of Fluorescent Probes and Research Chemicals*, Molecular Probes, Eugene,

Oregon) and GFP (Ward *et al.* (1980) *Photochem. Photobiol.* 31: 611-615) which display pH-

dependent fluorescence.

ASPHYA-PEB photostability under white light was compared to B-PE and

10 fluorescein (Fig. 8C). These measurements indicated that photobleaching was log linear for all three fluorophores with rates of  $2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ min}^{-1}$  for ASPHYA-PEB,  $5.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ min}^{-1}$  for B-PE, and  $1.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1}$  for fluorescein. When these rates were corrected for the quantity of photons absorbed, ASPHYA-PEB and B-PE manifested similar photostabilities, greater than 8-fold more photostable than fluorescein. Since B-PE has one of the lowest

15 photodestruction quantum yields among the common probes (White (1987) *Analyt. Biochem.* 161: 442-452), these results suggest that the phytofluor family of fluorescent proteins will be an excellent addition to currently available fluorescent probes. The shielded environment of PEB when bound to apophytochrome suggests that phytofluors may be resistant to a number of potential quenching agents.

20 **Phytofluors can be reconstituted in plant cells.**

To test the feasibility of reconstituting phytofluors *in vivo*, the *hy1* mutant of *Arabidopsis thaliana* which is unable to synthesize PΦB, and accumulates apophytochrome A in the cytoplasm (Parks *et al.* (1989) *Plant Molecular Biol.* 12:425-437; Parks *et al.* (1991) *Plant Cell* 3: 1177-1186) was utilized. Upon incubation of dark-grown *hy1* seedlings with 25 PEB, a significant increase in orange fluorescence was observed. That this fluorescence emission represented *in situ* formation of the PEB adduct of apophytochrome A was established by confocal microscopy (Fig. 9). PEB-treated *hy1* seedlings exhibited significant phytofluor emission between 590-610 nm primarily in the hypocotyls (Fig. 9 left (A)) with

red (proto)chlorophyll emission (670-800 nm observed) mainly in the cotyledons (Fig 9 right (A)). Phytofluor fluorescence was cytoplasmically localized, which contrasts with chlorophyll fluorescence, which was confined to the chloroplast organelle (Fig. 9 right (B)). Untreated control *hy1* seedlings displayed no phytofluor emission, only the red emission.

5 By comparison with PEB treated *hy1* seedlings, PEB-treated wild type seedlings displayed markedly reduced orange fluorescence which was exclusively seen in the hypocotyl hook region (Fig. 9 left (C)). The observed pattern of fluorescence labeling of PEB treated seedlings was fully consistent with the known accumulation of apophytochrome A in the hypocotyl hook of dark grown dicotyledonous seedlings. The PEB-dependent 10 appearance of orange fluorescence in wild type seedlings supports the presence of newly translated apophytochrome A that has not yet assembled with endogenous PΦB. No phytofluor fluorescence was detected in PEB-treated seedlings of the *hy1 phyA phyB* triple mutant, that lacks apophytochromes A and B (Fig. 9D). Taken together, these data provide compelling precedent for phytofluor reconstitution in live cells.

15 The phytofluors of this invention possess the best attributes of both B-PE and GFP; including the ability to self assemble, the red-shifted emission, and their brightness (*i.e.* 3-6 fold brighter than GFP). Since PEB can be obtained in quantity by methanolysis of commercially available red algae, the use of phytofluors *in vivo* is limited only by the expression of apophytochrome and delivery PEB. This study, however establishes conditions 20 for routine delivery of PEB to cells. In addition, this study has illustrated the expression of heterologous apophytochrome. The demonstration of this invention that fluorescent phytochromes can be reconstituted in living cells taken with the observation that (non-fluorescent) photoactive phytochromes can be reconstituted in living yeast cells (Li *et al.* 1994) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sciences USA*, 91: 12535-12539) indicates that phytofluors can be 25 routinely reconstituted in other organisms as well.

EXAMPLE 4CYANOBACTERIAL PHYTOCHROME TWO COMPONENT LIGHT SENSORY SYSTEM

Identification of the *rcaE* gene from the cyanobacterium *Fremyella*

5      *diplosiphon*, which encodes a protein that is structurally related to higher plant phytochromes and bacterial histidine kinases, has renewed interest in the possibility that phytochrome is a protein kinase (Kehoe and Grossman (1996) *Science* 273: 1409-1412). Other phytochrome-like open reading frames (orfs) have also been noted in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 genome (Kehoe and Grossman (1996) *supra*, Kaneko *et al.* (1997) *DNA Res.* 3: 109-36; Allen and Matthijs (1997) *Tr. Plant Sci.* 2: 41-43). One of these orfs, locus slr0473, encodes a 748 residue polypeptide whose expression in *E. coli* and incubation with phycocyanobilin (PCB), yielded an adduct with a red, far-red photoreversible phytochrome signature (Hughes *et al.* (1997) *Nature* 386: 663). Closer inspection of this phytochrome locus, referred to herein as *cph1* for cyanobacterial phytochrome 1, revealed another orf only 10 bp downstream, locus slr0474, that is named herein *rcp1* for response regulator for *Cph1* based on the following study (Fig. 10A). Since the C-terminal domain of *Cph1* contains all conserved features of histidine kinase transmitter modules (Fig. 10B), and *rcp1* encodes a 147 amino acid protein related to the CheY superfamily of bacterial response regulators (Fig. 15 10C), which contain aspartate kinase receiver modules, whether these proteins represent a functional light-regulated transmitter-receiver pair (Parkinson and Kofoid (1992) *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 26: 71-112) was investigated.

20

25

Affinity-tagged versions of both proteins were cloned by PCR and expressed in *E. coli*. Briefly, loci slr0473 and slr0474 were amplified by PCR using purified *Synechocystis* sp PCC6803 genomic DNA, both individually and as an operon, with primers which enabled their cloning into the pASK75B expression vector (Murphy and Lagarias (1997) *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 65: 750-758. Expression of Strep-Tagged fusions of *Cph1* and *Rcp1* in *E. coli* strain DH5 was performed according to manufacturer's instructions (Biometra Inc.)

That Cph1 is a functional phytochrome homolog was demonstrated by its ability to catalyze its own chromophore attachment to yield photoreversible adducts with the higher plant chromophore precursor, phytochromobilin (PΦB), and its phycobilin analog PCB (Fig. 11A). Assembly with phycoerythrobilin (PEB), a phycobilin analog that lacks the 5 C15 double bond found in PCB and PΦB, also produced a covalent adduct as visualized by zinc-blot analysis (Fig. 11B). The PEB adduct of Cph1 was photochemically inactive, thus demonstrating that photoisomerization of the C15 double bond is required for Cph1 photoactivity as for higher plant phytochromes (Li and Lagarias (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267: 19204-19210).

10 A deletion mutant N514 was obtained by PCR of *cph1* using primers "S6801phy" N514 Sal antisense primer 5'-GCGTCGACCACCTCTGCCTGGC GCAA-3' (SEQ ID NO: 5) , and "S6801phy" sense primer 5'-GCACTAGTTAACGAGG GCAAAAAATGGCCACCACCGTAC-3' (SEQ ID NO: 6) designed to amplify the *cph1* sequence coding for amino terminal residues 1-514. The PCR product was cloned into 15 pASK75B and the Strep-Tagged fusion protein N514 was expressed in *E. coli* as described above.

The Cph1 deletion mutant N514 which lacks the transmitter domain also bound all three bilins covalently (Fig. 11B), yielding PΦB and PCB adducts with absorption difference spectra indistinguishable from the full-length photoreceptor. These data indicate 20 that the N-terminal region of Cph1 delimits a functional photosensory domain (Fig.11C) consistent with the structure and photochemistry of eukaryotic phytochromes (Furuya (1993) *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 44: 617-645; Quail et. al. (1995) *Science* 268: 675-680; Pratt (1995) *Photochem. Photobiol.* 61: 10-21; Smith (1995) *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 46: 289-315; Jones and Edgerton (1994) *Sem. Cell Biol.* 5: 295-302; 25 Vierstra (1993), *Plant Physiol.*, 103: 679-684).

Cph1 is smaller than eukaryotic phytochromes, lacking a 60-100 amino acid N-terminal fragment found on the photosensory domains of prototypical phytochromes and approximately half of the C-terminal region (Fig. 11C). Removal of the N-terminal portion of higher plant phytochromes blue-shifts its Pfr absorption maximum and attenuates its

biological activity (Jones and Edgerton (1994) *Sem. Cell Biol.* 5: 295-302; Vierstra (1993), *Plant Physiol.*, 103: 679-684). Consistent with these observations, Pfr absorption maxima of Cph1-bilin adducts are blue-shifted relative to higher plant phytochrome bilin adducts while Pr absorption maxima are similar (Fig. 11A). The dark reversion properties of the two bilin  
5 adducts of Cph1 are particularly interesting (Fig. 11D). PCB adducts of Cph1 and N514 deletion mutant display little dark reversion, while PΦB adducts show considerable dark reversion, with respective half lives of 10 and 24 h. In addition to demonstrating that Pfr stability depends upon chromophore structure, these results indicate that the transmitter domain influences the conformational stability of the chromophore domain. In view of the  
10 potential role of dark reversion in the perception of photoperiod (Borthwick and Hendricks (1960) *Science* 132: 1223-1228) and light direction (Iino *et al.* (1997) *Photochem. Photobiol.* 65: 10320-1038), the identity of the natural Cph1 chromophore is of great interest.

To test whether Cph1 and Rcp1 represent functional transmitter and receiver molecules, affinity-tagged versions of Cph1 and Rcp1 fusion proteins were purified and the  
15 PCB adduct of Cph1 was analyzed for protein kinase activity (Fig. 3A). Briefly, maltose binding protein (MBP) fusions with Strep-Tagged WT and D68A mutant of Rcp1, generated by site-specific mutagenesis (Picard *et al.* (1994) *Nucleic Acid Res.*, 22: 2587-2591), were obtained by subcloning into the BamH1 site of pMAL-c2, expressed in *E. coli* and purified according to the vector manufacturer's instructions (New England BioLabs). Strep-Tagged  
20 Cph1 was produced by PCR amplification of loci slr0473 and slr0474 using purified *Synechocystis* sp PCC6803 genomic DNA, both individually and as an operon, with primers which enabled their cloning into the pASK75B expression vector (Murphy and Lagarias (1997) *Photochem. Photobiol.* 65: 750-758). Expression of Strep-Tagged fusions of Cph1 and Rcp1 in *E. coli* strain DH5<sup>+</sup> was performed according to manufacturer's instructions  
25 (Biometra Inc.). The N514 mutant was produced as described above. Both Strep-Tagged Cph1 and the N514 mutant were purified with a homemade streptavidin-sepharose matrix (Schmidt and Skerra (1994) *J. Chromatog.* 676: 337-345).

Surprisingly, the Pr form of Cph1 exhibited ATP-dependent autophosphorylation activity, whereas phosphorylation of the Pfr form was greatly reduced.

Consistent with a histidine residue as the phosphorylation site, Cph1 autophosphorylation was base stable and acid labile. Similar experiments performed with the N514 mutant demonstrated that the transmitter domain was required for Cph1 autophosphorylation (Fig. 12A). That Rcp1 is a functional receiver substrate for Cph1 was established by 5 phosphotransfer from Cph1 to Rcp1. No phosphotransfer occurred with the D68A mutant of Rcp1 which lacks the conserved phosphate-accepting aspartate residue of receiver domains (Parkinson and Kofoid (1992) *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 26: 71-112). The inability of the H538K mutant of Cph1 to autophosphorylate and to support phosphotransfer to Rcp1 demonstrated that this conserved histidine residue in the Cph1 transmitter module is required for both 10 activities. These data, taken together, demonstrate that Cph1 is a histidine kinase that mediates light-dependent phosphotransfer to Rcp1. Cph1 and Rcp1 thus represent a two-component regulatory system in cyanobacteria that is modulated by red and far-red light.

The low amount of Cph1 autophosphorylation and Rcp1 phosphotransferase activity exhibited by the Pfr sample probably represents the presence of residual Pr and is 15 consistent with the photoequilibrium mixture, containing 13% Pr, which results for higher plant phytochromes irradiated with saturating red light (Furuya (1993) *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 44: 617-645; Quail et. al. (1995) *Science* 268: 675-680; Pratt (1995) *Photochem. Photobiol.* 61: 10-21; Smith (1995) *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 46: 289-315). To determine whether phosphorylated Pfr (Pfr\*) was capable of phosphate 20 transfer to Rcp1, Pr was autophosphorylated (Pr\*), photoconverted to Pfr\* and then incubated with Rcp1. By comparison with a control sample maintained in the Pr\* form, phosphotransfer from Pfr\* to Rcp1 was clearly prevented. Thus, the Pfr form of Cph1 lacks both autophosphorylation and Rcp1 phosphotransfer activities.

The organization of the cyanobacterial phytochrome operon is similar to the 25 *Fremyella diplosiphon rcaEF* operon which encodes two elements of the complementary chromatic adaptation signal transduction pathway (Kehoe and Grossman (1996) *Science* 273: 1409-1412; Kehoe and Grossman (1997) *J. Bacteriol.* 179, 3914-3921). This and the biochemical data suggest that the molecular mechanism of Cph1 action involves light-regulated protein phosphorylation-dephosphorylation.. In this model, Cph1 can exist as four

species - Pr, Pr\*, Pfr and Pfr\*, whose abundances are regulated both by light conditions and by Rcp1 phosphorylation status. By analogy to the multistep phosphorelay cascades proposed for complementary chromatic adaptation in *Fremyella diplosiphon* (Kehoe and Grossman (1997) *J. Bacteriol.* 179, 3914-3921), sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis* (Perego *et al.* (1994) *Cell* 79: 1047-1055) and osmosensing in yeast (Posaset *et al.* (1996) *Cell* 86: 865-875), Rcp1 dephosphorylation could be mediated by phosphotransfer to another regulatory molecule. Alternatively, the two forms of the small receiver molecule, Rcp1 and phospho-Rcp1 (Rcp1\*), could have distinct regulatory activities like CheY (Parkinson and Kofoid (1992) *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 26: 71-112).

In higher plants, Pfr is thought to be the active form of phytochrome. The present examples suggest that the light signal transduced by Cph1 involves the regulation of Pr abundance, rather than that of Pfr. However, Pfr (or Pfr\*) could perform an as yet unidentified role in the signal transduction process, such as allosterically regulating the activity of an Rcp1 phosphatase or influencing phosphotransfer to another regulatory molecule. In view of the evidence presented here, the presence of a transmitter-like domain in higher plant phytochromes (Schneider *et al.* (1991) *FEBS Lett.* 281: 245-249) and the observed protein kinase activity of purified higher plant phytochromes (Wong *et al.* (1986) *J. Biol. Chem.* 261: 12089-12097; Wong *et al.* (1989) *Plant Physiol.* 91: 709-718), it is expected that the molecular mechanism of phytochrome function in plants will involve phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of transmitter- and receiver-containing signaling proteins like those prevalent in eubacteria and archaebacteria. It is intriguing that two component regulatory family members have been identified in plants including the putative plant hormone receptors for ethylene (Chang *et al.* (1993) *Science* 262: 539-544 (1993)), and cytokinin (Kakimoto (1996) *Science* 274: 982-985). The physiological interplay between light and hormone responses in plants (Chory *et al.* (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 93: 12066-12071) suggests that these receptors may be targets for integrated transduction of multiple signals.

EXAMPLE 5AN AFFINITY TAGGED PHYTOFLUOR BOUND TO A SOLID SUPPORT

This example describes the visual observation of orange fluorescence from Strep-Tagged phytofluors adsorbed to a streptavidin-agarose matrix. The fusion of the Strep-

- 5 Tag to the C-terminus of the apoprotein confers the ability of the fluorescent phytofluor probe to bind with high affinity to an immobilized streptavidin polypeptide.

Recombinant Strep-Tagged ASPHYA, MCPHY1, and N514 apoproteins were expressed and partially purified by ammonium sulfate fractionation as described (Murphy and Lagarias (1997) *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 65: 750-758; Wu and Lagarias (1996) *Proc. Natl.*

- 10 *Acad. Sci., USA*, 93: 8989-8994). The crude apoprotein preparations were resuspended in buffer W (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT) and incubated with 5 µM PEB at room temperature for 60 min. In a 4°C cold room under green safelight, these preparations were applied to a 3 ml (bed volume) streptavidin-agarose column of dimensions 1.3 cm diameter X 5 cm length. The orange phytofluor fluorescence, originally 15 faintly visible in the liquid fraction, was strongly retained and concentrated onto the streptavidin-agarose matrix as the liquid phase passed through. Extensive washing of the streptavidin-agarose matrix with 40 ml of buffer W failed to appreciably desorb this orange emitting species as monitored visually. The orange fluorescent species could be nearly quantitatively and specifically eluted from the streptavidin-agarose matrix with 3 mM 20 diaminobiotin in buffer W which binds to streptavidin competitively with the 10 amino acid Strep-Tag at the C-terminus of the phytofluor. Spectroscopic and SDS-PAGE analyses of the eluted fractions indicated that the orange fluorescence was associated with the phytofluor protein.

- This experiment indicates that phytofluors can strongly and specifically 25 interact with (e.g., bind to) other macromolecular complexes via covalent attachment of an affinity tag at the C-terminus of the phytochrome apoprotein. Biotin avidin linkages are widely used as conjugates to all manner of moieties (e.g. nucleic acids, proteins, antibodies, etc.). This experiment indicates that the phytofluors of this invention can be routinely used to label a wide variety of macroscopic or molecular moieties using currently available 30 (strept)avidin-biotin technology.

EXAMPLE 6MODIFICATIONS OF APOPROTEIN STRUCTURE

This example describes the ability to modify phytochrome apoproteins by deletion of portions of the polypeptide or by fusion of other proteins to the apoprotein C-terminus by gene fusions. In all cases described, phytochromes retain the ability to covalently assemble chromophore to create a fully active chromophore domain.

Removal of the C-termini from a number of phytochromes has yielded monomeric proteins which autocatalytically assemble with PΦB in vitro to create adducts which are spectrophotometrically indistinguishable from the full length phytochromes (Cherry *et al.* (1993) *Plant Cell*, 5: 565-575; Lagarias *et al.* (1997) *Plant Cell*, 9, 675-788; Terry *et al.* (1993) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 306: 1-15). Apoprotein deletion mutants consisting of the first 599 residues of *Arabidopsis* phytochrome A (ATPHYA) and the first 514 residues from *Synechocystis* sp PC6803 phytochrome (N514) have been expressed in micro-organisms and assembled with PEB thereby forming fluorescent phytofluors. The N514 mutant was shown to be monomeric by gel filtration chromatography, and ATPHYA is also believed to be monomeric by analogy to previously described deletions (Cherry *et al.* (1993) *Plant Cell*, 5: 565-575; Lagarias *et al.* (1997) *Plant Cell*, 9, 675-788; Terry *et al.* (1993) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 306: 1-15).

The phytochrome protein is able to retain photoreceptor activity when additions are made by expression of in frame gene fusions. Hygromycin phosphotransferase has been fused to the C-terminus of *Arabidopsis* phytochrome A (ATPHYA) to create a 165 kDa fusion protein which has full photoreceptor activity and full hygromycin phosphotransferase activity (Yeh and Lagarias (1997) *Plant Biol.*, Abstract 591, *Plant Physiol.* 114(3): 304 1997). A number of phytochromes have been affinity purified via carboxy-terminal fused 6 histidine tags (Hughes *et al.* (1997) *Nature* 386: 663-663) or 15 amino acid linker-Strep-tags (Murphy and Lagarias (1997) *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 65: 750-758; Wu and Lagarias (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA*, 93: 8989-8994). In all cases, the peptide retains the ability to interact with affinity matrices and a spectrophotometrically intact photoreceptor is produced upon assembly with chromophore precursors. In related experiments, a number of deletions and full length phytochromes from *Avena sativa* (Oat), *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Mesotaenium caldarium* (green algae), and *Synechocystis* sp PC6803 (cyanobacteria), all of which contain a C-terminal Strep-tag, have been assembled with PEB and shown to fluoresce.

It is understood that the examples and embodiments described herein are for illustrative purposes only and that various modifications or changes in light thereof will be suggested to persons skilled in the art and are to be included within the spirit and purview of  
5 this application and scope of the appended claims. All publications, patents, and patent applications cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes.

## SEQUENCE LISTING

Sequence ID NO: 1. Oat (*Avena*) phytochrome protein sequence.

**oatphy1a.p**

TRANSLATE of: oatap3.seq check: 9956 from: 1 to: 3390  
generated symbols 1 to: 1130.

*Avena sativa* mRNA for phytochrome (AP3).

AUTHORS Hershey, H.P., Barker, R.F., Idler, K.B., Lissemore, J.L. and Quail, P.H.  
JOURNAL Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 8543-8559. (1985)

Oatap3.Pep Length: 1130 December 15, 1989 17:29 Check: 2292 ..

```

1 MSSSRPAPSS SSRNRQSSQA RVLAQTTLDA ELNAEYEEESG DSFDYSKLVE
51 AQRDGPPVQQ GRSEKVIAYL QHIQKGKLIQ TFGCLLALDE KSFNVIASFSE
101 NAPEMLTTVS HAVPSVDDPP RLGIGTNVRS LFSDQGATAL HKALGFADVS
151 LLNPILVQCK TSGKPFYAIV HRATGCLVVD FEPVKPTEFP ATAAGALQSY
201 KLAAKAISKI QSLPGGSMEV LCNTVVKEVF DLTGYDRVMA YKFHEDDHGE
251 VFSEITKPGL EPYLGLHYPA TDIPQAARLL FMKNKVRMIC DCRARSIKVI
301 EAEALPFDIS LCGSALRAPH SCHLQYMEMN NSIASLVMAV VVNENEEDDE
351 AESEQPAQQQ KKKKLWGLLV CHHESPRYVP FPLRYACEFL AQVFAHVNR
401 EFELEKQLRE KNILKMQTML SDMLFREASP LTIVSGTPNI MDLVKCDGAA
451 LLYGGKVWRRL RNAPTESQIH DIAFWLSDVH RDSTGLSTDs LHDAGYPGAA
501 ALGDMICGMA VAKINSKDIL FWFRSHTAAE IRWGGAKNDP SDMDDSRRMH
551 PRLSFKAFLE VVKMKSLPWS DYEMDAIHSL QLILRGTLND ASKPKREASL
601 DNQIGDLKLD GLAELQAVTS EMVRLMETAT VPILAVDGNG LVNGWNQKAA
651 ELTGLRVDDA IGRHILTLVE DSSVPVVQRM LYLALQGKEE KEVRFEVKTH
701 GPKRDDGPVI LVVNACASRD LHDHVVGVCF VAQDMTVHKL VMDKFTRVEG
751 DYKAIIHNPn PLIPPIFGAD EFGWCSEWNA AMTKLTGWNR DEVLDKMLLG
801 EVFDSSNASC PLKNRDAFVS LCVLINSALA GEETEKAPFG FFDRSGKYIE
851 CLLSANRKEN EGGLITGVFC FIHVASHELQ HALQVQQASE QTSLKRLKAF
901 SYMRHAINNP LSGMLYSRKA LKNTDLNEEQ MKQIHVGDNC HHQINKILAD
951 LDQDSITEKS SCLDLEMAEF LLQDVVAAV SQVLITCQGK GIRISCNLPE
1001 RFMKQSVYGD GVRLQQILSD FLFISVKFSP VGGSVEISSK LTKNSIGENL
1051 HLIDLELRRIK HQGLGVPAEL MAQMFEEDNK EQSEEGLSLL VSRNLLRLMN
1101 GDVRHLREAG VSTFIITAEL ASAPTAMGQ*
```

Sequence ID NO: 2. Algal (*Mesotaenium*) phytochrome protein sequence.

**Mesphy1b.pep**

TRANSLATE of: mesphy2c.seq check: 6850 from: 2107 to: 5535  
generated symbols 1 to: 1143.

Mesotaenium phytochrome gene1b Genomic-cDNA hybrid.  
Contains 2106 nt of "Promoter Region".  
Start Codon begins at nt 2107. Termination codon ends at nt 5535.  
PolyA site begins at nt 5879. 3' untranslated region 344 bp.  
Encodes a protein of 1142 amino acids.  
Corrected 11/08/94 by JCL. . . .

Mesphy2c.pep Length: 1143 November 8, 1994 09:23 Type: P Check: 6299 ..

```

1 MSTSRMSQSS GESTAKTKRE VRVAQATADA KLNTAFEASA AVGGSPDYTK
51 SVGASLNAGS EAIPSSAVTA YLQRMRQGGI TQTFGCMILMV EEGSFRVRAF
101 SENAGEMLDL VPQAVPSMGQ QSLIAVGTDI RTLFTSASVS LLEKAAMATD
151 VSVMNPVSLQ SRAAKKPFFA VLHRIDVGLV VDLEPVPRPSD PNVSAAAGAMQ
201 SHKLAAKAIS RLQSLPGGDI GLLCDAVVEE VRELTYDRV MAYKFHEDEH
251 GEVIAEIRRS DLEPYLGLHY PATDIPQAAR FLMFKNRVRI ICDCSAPPVK
301 VIQDPTMKHP ISLAGSTLRG VHGCQAQYMA NMGSVASLVM AVIINDNSSE
351 EGATAAGGIL HKGRKLWGLV VCHHSSPRYV PFPLRSACEF LMQVFGLQLN
401 MEVELSSQLR EKHILRTQTL LCDMILLRDAP MGIVSQSPNI TDLVKCDGAA
451 LFYHGRRAWLL GVTPSEAQVR DIAAWLLDSH KDSTGLSTDs LADAGYPNAD
501 SLCVSVCGMA AARITSKDFL FWFRSHAQKE VKWAGAKQEP GDRDREEGEE
551 GGRMHPRSSF QAFLEVVKQR SLPWEDVEMD AIHSLQLILR GSFQDMEGEG
601 GGSQQGNKRM INARLNDLKL QGMDELSTVA NEMVRLIETA TAPILAVDSL
651 GCVNGWNNAKV SELTGLPVSE AMGKSLVKDL VQRESREAVE RVLYMALNGE
701 EEQNVEIQLK TWGPQLHSHG GTVILVVNAC ASRDVSESVV GVCFVGQDV
751 GEKEVLDKFI RIQGDYTTIV RSRNSLIPPI FGSDEYGCCT EWNPAMEKLT
801 GVRREDVICR MLMGDVFGSA LRLRGSDGLT QFMIVLNRAM DGADTDKFPP
851 TFYDREGKCV DSLLTANKRT DADGAITGVF CFLHTVSSEL QQALSVQKAA
901 ERVAEAKAKE LAYIRQEIQN PLDGIHFARS FIEHTELS ED QKQLMETSAT
951 CEKQLRRILD DMLESIEEG YLELETGEFM MATVMNSVVS QGMVQSSKKKG
1001 LQLFCDPPE FKSMCVFGDQ VRLQQVLADF LMNAVQFTPQ SGWVEIKVVP
1051 NVRSLPGGIT MAHMEFRVTH SGEGLPEDLV HQMFDRADAH SKSQEGLGLS
1101 MCRKIVRLMS GEVRYVREPG KSYFLVLLDL PLAQRERDAGS AM*

```

Sequence ID NO: 3. Cyanobacterial (*Synechocystis*) phytochrome protein sequence.

S6803PHY.PEP

Synecho Hypothetical Protein  
ORGANISM *Synechocystis* sp  
Unclassified

Syccpnc.Pep Length: 743 April 27, 1996 22:04 Type: P Check: 4183

1 MATTVQLSDQ SLRQLETLAI KTAHLIQPHG LVVVLQEPDL TISQISANCT  
51 GILGRSPEDL LGRTLGEVFD SFQIDPIQSR LTAGQISSLN PSKLWARUMG  
101 DDFVIFDGVF HRNSDGLLVC ELEPAYTSQN LPFLGFYHMA NAALNRLRQQ  
151 ANLRDFYDVI VEEVRRMTGF DRVMLYRFDE NNHGODVIAED KRDDMEPYLG  
201 LHYPESDIPO PARRLFIHNP IRVIPDVYGV AVPLTPAVNP STNRAVDLTE  
251 SILRSAYHCH LTYLKNMVG ASLTISLIKD GHLWCLIAH HTPKVIPFE  
301 LRKACEFFGR VVFSNISAQE DTETFDYRVQ LAEHEAVLLD KMTTAADFVE  
351 GLTNHPDRLL GLTGSQGAAI CFGEKLILVG ETPDEKAVQY LLQWLENREV  
401 QDVFFTSSLS QIYPDADVFK SVASGLLAIP IARHNFLWF RPEVLQTVNW  
451 GGDPNHAYEA TQEDGKIELH PRQSFDLWKE IVRLQSLPWQ SVEIQSALAL  
501 KKAIVNLLR QAEELAQLAR NLERSNADLK KFAYIASHDL QEPLNQVSNY  
551 VQLEMRYSE ALDEDAKDFI DFAUTGVSLM QTLIDDILTY AKVDTQYAQL  
601 TFTDVQEVD KALANLKQRI EESGAETEVG SMPAVMADQI QLMQVFQNL  
651 ANGIKFAGDK SPKIKIWGDR QEDAWVFAVQ DNGIGIDPQF FERIFVIFQR  
701 LHTRDEYKGT GMGLAICKKI IEGHQGQIWL ESNPGEGSTF YFSIPIGN

Sequence ID NO: 4.

5' -AGCTTCAGATCTGA-3'

5

Sequence ID NO: 5. "S6801phy N514 Sal" antisense primer"

5' -GCGTCGACCACCTTCTGCCTGGCGCAA-3

10

Sequence ID NO: 6 "S6801phy" sense primer

5' -GCACTAGTTAACGAGGGCAAAAATGCCACCGTAC-3'

**WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:**

- 1        1. A composition comprising a moiety to be detected linked to a fluorescent  
2        adduct consisting of an apoprotein polypeptide and a bilin chromophore, wherein the  
3        fluorescent adduct is useful for detecting the presence of the moiety in a sample.
  
- 1        2. The composition of claim 1, wherein said apoprotein polypeptide is  
2        selected from the group consisting of a plant apoprotein, an algal apoprotein, and a  
3        cyanobacterial apoprotein.
  
- 1        3. The composition of claim 2, wherein said apoprotein polypeptide is an oat  
2        apoprotein.
  
- 1        4. The composition of claim 1, wherein said apoprotein consists of a  
2        chromophore domain.
  
- 1        5. The composition of claim 4, wherein said chromophore domain consists of  
2        the 514 N-terminal amino acids of said apoprotein polypeptide.
  
- 1        6. The composition of claim 1, wherein said bilin is a tetrapyrrole.
  
- 1        7. The composition of claim 1, wherein said bilin is phycoerythrobilin.
  
- 1        8. The composition of claim 1, wherein said moiety is a biomolecule.
  
- 1        9. The composition of claim 8, wherein said biomolecule is selected from the  
2        group consisting of a protein, a glycoprotein, an antibody, and a nucleic acid.
  
- 1        10. The composition of claim 8, wherein said biomolecule is a nucleic acid.
  
- 1        11. The composition of claim 8, wherein the biomolecule is a protein.
  
- 1        12. The composition of claim 1, wherein said apoprotein polypeptide is an oat  
2        apoprotein and said bilin chromophore is phycoerythrobilin.
  
- 1        13. A method of detecting the presence of a biomolecule in a sample, the  
2        method comprising:  
3                providing a sample comprising a biomolecule linked to a fluorescent  
4        adduct consisting of an apoprotein and a bilin chromophore;

5 contacting the sample with light which causes the fluorescent adduct to  
6 emit light;  
7 detecting the emitted light, thereby detecting the presence of the  
8 biomolecule.

1                   14. The method of claim 13, wherein the step of contacting the sample with  
2 light includes contacting the sample with light having a wavelength of about 570 nm.

1                   15. The method of claim 13, wherein the step of detecting the emitted light  
2 includes detecting light having a wavelength of about 590 nm.

1                   16. The method of claim 13, wherein said apoprotein polypeptide is selected  
2 from the group consisting of a plant apoprotein, an algal apoprotein, and a cyanobacterial  
3 apoprotein.

1                   17. The method of claim 16, wherein said apoprotein polypeptide is an oat  
2                   apoprotein.

1                   18. The method of claim 13, wherein said apoprotein has about 1100 amino  
2 acid residues.

1                   19. The method of claim 18, wherein said apoprotein consists of a  
2 chromophore domain.

1                   20. The method of claim 19, wherein said chromophore domain consists of the  
2        514 N-terminal amino acids said apoprotein polypeptide

1                   21. The composition of claim 13, wherein said bilin is tetrapyrrole.

1                   22. The composition of claim 13, wherein said bilin is phycoerythrobilin.

1 23. The composition of claim 13, wherein said moiety is a biomolecule.



1                   **24. The composition of claim 23, wherein said biomolecule is selected from**  
2   **the group consisting of a protein, a glycoprotein, an antibody, and a nucleic acid.**

1 25. The composition of claim 23, wherein said biomolecule is a nucleic acid.


1 26. The composition of claim 23, wherein the biomolecule is a protein.

- 1                   27. The composition of claim 13, wherein said apoprotein polypeptide is an
- 2                   oat apoprotein and said bilin chromophore is phycoerythrobilin.

1/14


P<sub>r</sub> FORM OF PHYTOCHROME

PHYCOCYANIN







PHYCOERYTHRIN

**FIG. 1.**



*FIG. 2.*

**FIG. 3A.****FIG. 3B.****FIG. 3C.****FIG. 3D.**

4/14




FIG. 4A.




FIG. 4B.

5/14




FIG. 5.

6/14

|           |     |   |
|-----------|-----|---|
| Asphya    | 1   | MSSSRPA--SSSSSSRNROSSQARVLAQTTLDAELNAEYE---ESGDSFDYSKLV     |
| Atphya    | 1   | MSGSRPTQSSEGSSRRSRHS--ARIIAQTTVDAKLHADFE---ESGSSFDYSTS      |
| Mcphy1b   | 1   | MSTSRMSQSSGEST--AKTKREVRVAQATAADAKLNNTAFEASAUGGSFDYTKSV     |
| S6803phy1 | 1   | -   |
| Consensus | 1   | M<br>msssrpqssqts---k-s---riaqtt-daklHAVfa---asgdsfdysksv   |
| Asphya    | 50  | EAQRDGPPVQQGRSEKV- IAYLQHIQKGKLIIQTF[GCLLAL-DEKSFNVIAFSEN   |
| Atphya    | 50  | RVTCPVVENQPPRSDKVTITLYLHHIQKGKLIIQPF[GCLLAL-DEKTPKVIAYESN   |
| Mcphy1b   | 53  | GASLNAGSEAIP--SSAVTAYLQRMQRGGITGTF[GCLMV-EEGSFRVRRAFSEN     |
| S6803phy1 | 2   | ATTVQLSDQSLRQLETLA-----IHTAHЛИGPHC-LVVVLQEPEDLTISQISAN      |
| Consensus | 44  | rat---t---e---p---ekv-taylqriqrgglijqf[GCLLAV-deksfrviaysen |
| Asphya    | 102 | APEM[TTVS-HAVPSVDD---PPRLGIGTNVRSLFSDQGATALHKALGFADVG[GL    |
| Atphya    | 103 | ASELLITMAS-HAVPSVGE---HPVLGIGDIRSLFTAPSASALQKALGFCDV[EL     |
| Mcphy1b   | 104 | AGEMEDLVLP-QAVPSM--GQQ-SLIAVGTDIRTLFTSASVSIIEKAAMATDVS      |
| S6803phy1 | 49  | [CTGILGRSPEDL-----ICRTLGEVFDSFQIDPIQSRLTAGQISS              |
| Consensus | 38  | apem[tlvs-havpsvg----pvlqigt[dvrliftapsaaaalekalgfge-[S1    |
| Asphya    | 152 | [NP]ILVQCKTSFKPFY---AIVHRATGCLVV-DF[KPVKPTEFPAT-AAGALQSY    |
| Atphya    | 153 | [NP]ILVHCRTSAKPFY---AIIHRVTGSIIDF[IPVKPYEVPM[AT-AAGALQSY    |
| Mcphy1b   | 154 | [NP]VSLQSRRAAKKPFY---AVLIRIDVGLVV-DIFFVRPSDPNV[S-AAGAMQSH   |
| S6803phy1 | 89  | [NP]SKLWARVMDDDPVIFDGVFHRSNDGLLVC[E]IIPAYTSD-----NLPEFLGY   |
| Consensus | 135 | [npilvhcktsqkpfy---ailhrvdgg1vi-d-[pvkpyd-p-c-aagalqsy      |
| Asphya    | 201 | KLAAKAIISKI-QSLPGGSMEVLCNNTV[KEVFDLITGYDRVMAYKF[HEDDHGEVFS  |
| Atphya    | 202 | KLAAKAITRL-QSLPGSMERLCDTM[QEVFELTG[YDRVMAYKP[HEDDHGEWVS     |
| Mcphy1b   | 203 | KLAAKAIISRL-QSLPGGDDIGLLCDAVVEEVRREL[GYDRVMAYKP[HEDDHGEVIA  |
| S6803phy1 | 138 | HMANAAALNRLRQ---QANLIRDFFYDVIVEEVRRM[GFDYRMLYRPDENNHGDVIA   |
| Consensus | 181 | hlaakalsrl-qs1pgg-mellcdtvmeevrel[Gydrvma[ykp[heddhgetva    |

**FIG. 6-1.**

7/14

|           |     |   |
|-----------|-----|---|
| Asphya    | 254 | KITKPGLEF YI [GGHYPATD DPOAARL [FMK [KVRM [CFCRARS IKVIE--AEAL  |
| At phy a  | 255 | PVTKPGLECYI [QHYPATC IPOQAARL [FMK [KVRM [VDCNAKHARVLO--DEKL    |
| Mcphy1b   | 256 | EIRRSDLEPYI [GLHYPATD IPOQAARL [FMK [KVRM [CFCSAPPVKVIQ--DPTM   |
| S6803phy1 | 139 | PDKRDDMEPYI [GLHYPESDIPWPAAPRLFIHMPIRVIE[VYGVAVPLTPAVNPST       |
| Consensus | 233 | pi-rpdlepy[glhypatdipoaakf1fmkn-vrm[fcra-pvkviq--dekl           |
| Asphy a   | 306 | PFDIS [CGS [LTAPHIS [HLQIMEN [NSIAS [IVMAVVVNENEEDDEAESEQPAQQ   |
| At phy a  | 307 | SFDLT [CC [IFNFS [MLQIMAHIDSIAN [IVMAVVVNIEEDGEGD-APDATTPQ      |
| Mcphy1b   | 308 | KHPIS [AK [IIVHCG [HAQTM [SVASIV [MAVIIUDNSSEEAGATAAGGIL-       |
| S6803phy1 | 243 | NRAVD [RE [IIPAYHDHLT [LKNGVGASUTISLIKDG-----                   |
| Consensus | 282 | pqp1s1:92 [IIPH93H[lymangsiaslvmav-indn-eede-g-----             |
| Asphy a   | 360 | QKKKK [NGILVCHESP--RYVPEPIR YACPFLAQVF AVHV NREF---ELEKQL       |
| At phy a  | 360 | QKRKR [LWGI [VVCNTTP--RFVFPFPIR YACEFLAQVF AIVHV NKEV---ELDNQM  |
| Mcphy1b   | 361 | HKGRK [LWGI [VVCNTTP--RYVPEPIKSACEEELMQVFG LQLNNEV---ELSSQL     |
| S6803phy1 | 282 | ---HLWGLIACHHQT P--KVIPFEIRKACEFFGRV FESNI SAQE---DTETED        |
| Consensus | 326 | qk-krlwg1/vvchhtsp--rfvpfpliyacefilmqvfq1q1nme1---alasq1        |
| Asphy a   | 409 | REKNILKMQTM [S [MLFREASPLTIVSGT [N- IMD [VKCDGA [ALLYGGK VWRILR |
| At phy a  | 409 | VEKNILRTOTLIC [IMLMRDA-PLGIVSQSIN-IMDI [VKCDDA [ALLYK NKIWKLG   |
| Mcphy1b   | 410 | REKHILRTOTLIC [EMLLRDA-PMGIVSQSPN-ITDI [VKCDCAA [ALFYHGR AWLLC  |
| S6803phy1 | 327 | YRVQLAEHEAVI [LKMTTAADFVEGLTNHFDRLLGD [TGSQCAA [CFGEKLLV LG     |
| Consensus | 374 | raknirrtqt11 [im11rda-p19ivsqsqsn-imdlvkcd daal lyggk-w11g      |
| Asphy a   | 462 | NAPTESQIHNDIAFWLSDVHR-DSTGLSTD [THDAGYPG-[AAALGDMICGMAVAK       |
| At phy a  | 461 | TIPSEFHQLEIASWLCYHM-DSTGLSTD [LHDAGFPR-[ALS LGDSVCGMAAVR        |
| Mcphy1b   | 462 | VTPSEAQVRDIAN ALLD SHK-DSTGLSTD [LADAGYPN-ADSLGVSVCGMAAAR       |
| S6803phy1 | 431 | ETPDEKA VQYLLQ [WLENREVQD--VFETTSISQI-YPD-[VNFKSVASGCLLAIP      |
| Consensus | 477 | vtp[tesqikdiaewlleyng-dstg1stds1adagypg-aaalqdavcgmaaak         |

**FIG. 6-2.**

8/14

|           |     |  |
|-----------|-----|--|
| Asphy a   | 514 | INSKDFILEPRSHAAEIRIGGAKNDPSDMD-----DSRRMHP[R]SRKAFLFVV       |
| Atphy a   | 513 | ISSKDMIFWPRSHSTAGEVR[G]GAKHDPPDDRD-----DARRMRTRSSPKAFLEV     |
| Mcphy lb  | 514 | ITSKDFLFWPRSHACKEV[K]MA[G]AKQEPGDRDREEEGGRMMPRSSQAFITVV      |
| S6803phy1 | 431 | IARHNFLLIWEPPEVLQTVNWG[G]DPNHAYEATQE--DGKIEJHPPRQSEDLWK[E]IV |
| Consensus | 477 | itskdflfwfrshtakeikwgqakhd-p-dkd-----dgrrmhp[setkafilev]     |
| Asphy a   | 563 | KMKSLPWSDYEMDAIHS[Q]LILRGTL-----NDASKPKREASL                 |
| Atphy a   | 562 | KTRSLPW[K]DYEMDAIHS[L]Q[Q]LILRNNAFK-----DSETTDVNTKVI         |
| Mcphy lb  | 568 | KQRSLPWEDEVEMDAIHS[Q]LILRGSFQ--DMEGEGGSQQGNKRM               |
| S6803phy1 | 483 | RLQSLFWQSVEIQSALA[Q]KAIVNLLRQAELAQLARNLERSNAD                |
| Consensus | 525 | k-rs1pndyemdaihslq[Q]lilrgsfk-----dt-----t-i                 |

FIG. 6-3.

9/14




FIG. 7A.




FIG. 7B.




FIG. 7C.

10/14




FIG. 8A.




FIG. 8B.






FIG. 8C.

II/14

*FIG. 9A.**FIG. 9B.**FIG. 9C.**FIG. 9D.**FIG. 9E.**FIG. 9F.**FIG. 9G.**FIG. 9H.*

12/14




FIG. 10A.

MATTVQLSDOSLRQLETLAIHTAHLI**[QFH]**LVVVLOEPDLTISOISANCTGIL**[SRS**PEDL**[H**RTLGEVFDSFOID  
PIQSRLTAGQ**[ISSINE**SKLWARVMGDDFVIFDGVF**[HR**NSDGLLIVCELI**[P**AYTSNDNL**[P**FLGFYHMANAALNRLRQQ  
ANLRDFYDV**[IVEEVRRM****[Q**FORMLYR**[R**DENNECDV**[A**DKRDDMEP**[L**QLHYPE**[S**DIPQAFR**[F**IHNPIRV**[P**225  
**[D**VYGVAVPLTPAVNPSTNRAVD**[L**TES**[L**IRSAY**[H**CHLYK**[NM**VGAST**[T**ISLIKDGH**[W**GII**[T**ACHHOTPKVTPNE  
**[L**RKA**[Q**EPEGRVVF**[SN**ISAQED**[T**TFDYRVQLAHEAV**[L**DKMTTAADFVEGLTNH**[P**DRLLG**[T**GSO**[QA**AICFGEK  
LILVGET**[T**DEKAVQYLQLQ**[W**LENREVQDVF**[F**ESS**[S**ISOIYPDAVNFKSVASO**[L**LAIP**[A**PIARHNFL**[L**AF**[R**PEVLQTVN**[W**450  
G**[D**DPNAYEATOEDGKIE**[L**PH**[Q**DLWK**[L**VRQSL**[W**VS**[V**QS**[V**IQSALALKKAI**[V**NLLRQAEELAQLARNLERS  
NADLKKFAYIASX**[D**LOEPLNQVSNYVOLLEMRYSEALDEDAKDFIDFAVTGVSLMQTLIDDILTYAKVDTQYAQ**[L**600  
TFTDVQEVVDKALANLKQRIEESGAEIEVGSMMPAVMDQIQLMOVFONLIANGIKFAGDKSPKIKIWGDROEDAW  
VFAVQDNIGIDPOFFERIEVIEORLHTRDEYKGTGMGLAICKKIEGHQGQIWLSENPGEGSTFYESIPIGN  
748

FIG. 10B.

|       |  |    |
|-------|--|----|
| Rcp1  | MSDESNPF <b>[V</b> T <b>[I</b> YEDSKADSRLYQSVLRTSTIDH <b>[F</b> LILR <b>[G</b> AA <b>[MA</b> F <b>[L</b> Q <b>[Q</b> QEYENS <b>[P</b> R <b>[N</b> <b>[L</b> LD <b>[L</b> NP <b>[KK</b>   | 74 |
| Rcaf  | ----- <b>[M</b> OTHERL <b>[I</b> IDEETIOTV <b>[W</b> OF <b>[G</b> IK <b>[M</b> AA-G <b>[W</b> LVETASS <b>[F</b> EG <b>[Q</b> AO----- <b>[T</b> AKP <b>[D</b> ALL <b>[L</b> D <b>[V</b> MM <b>[P</b> DM                                     | 61 |
| Chey  | ----- <b>[M</b> ADKEL <b>[K</b> FL <b>[W</b> DFSTMR <b>[R</b> IVRN <b>[L</b> KE <b>[G</b> -FNNVEEA <b>[D</b> IVD <b>[A</b> L <b>[N</b> K <b>[D</b> ----- <b>[A</b> GGYGF <b>[V</b> ISD <b>[W</b> N <b>[M</b> PNM                           | 62 |
| SpoOF | ----- <b>[M</b> MNERL <b>[I</b> YD <b>[Q</b> YGIRI <b>[L</b> NE <b>[F</b> N <b>[K</b> REG-- <b>[Y</b> QTF <b>[Q</b> QA <b>[N</b> <b>[L</b> Q <b>[D</b> I <b>[V</b> T----- <b>[K</b> ERP <b>[D</b> IV <b>[L</b> DM <b>[K</b> IP <b>[G</b> M | 60 |

Rcp1      DCP**[D**V**[A**LL**[K**QNPD**[L**KR**[R**V**[V**LT**[S**HNE**[D**V**[A**SY**[L**H**[M**NC**[V**L**[T**KS**[R****[N****[L**K**[D**T**[F**KM**[V**OG**[I**ESFWLETVTLPA**[A** 147

Rcaf      DCJAT**[F**K**[Q**SHSETE**[Q**RV**[L**ATA**[Q**TA**[E**K**[R**Q**[F**ND**[L**GS**[V**IT**[K**P**[F**NS**[S**D**[I**PEQ**[Q**ISR**[L**HW-----

Chey      DC**[D**IL**[L**K**[T**RADGAMS**[A**LRV**[L**M**[V**TA**[E**AKKENI**[T**AAA**[Q**AG**[S**G**[V**V**[K**PTATA**[L**E**[E**KL**[G**-----

SpoOF      DC**[D**IL**[L**K**[R**M**[K**VID--**[E**NRV**[I**IM**[T**AY**[G**E**[L**MI**[Q**E**[S**KE**[F**G**[A**LTH**[F**AK**[P**FD**[D**IDE**[I**RD**[A**KKY**[L**PL**[K**SN-----

FIG. 10C.

13/14




FIG. III.D.




FIG. III.A.





FIG. III.C.

14/14



*FIG. II.B.*

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US97/13529

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) :G01N 21/64, 33/52,33/53, 33/533, 33/536, 33/566, 33/68  
US CL :436/518, 536, 546; 530/402, 409

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 436/518, 536, 546; 530/402, 409

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

CAPLUS, CA, DISSABS, BIOSIS, SCISEARCH, USPATFULL, MEDLINE, BIOTECHDS

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  | Relevant to claim No. |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|
| X         | LI, L. et al. Phytochrome Assembly. J. Biol. Chem. 25 September 1992. Vol. 267. No. 27. pages 19204-19210, see entire document.                 | 1-12                  |
| X         | LI, L et al. Continuous Fluorescence Assay of Phytochrome Assembly in Vitro. Biochemistry. 1995. Vol. 34. pages 7923-7930, see entire document. | 1-20                  |
| Y         | LAGARIS, J. C. et al. Self-Assembly of Synthetic Phytochrome Holoprotein in Vitro. August 1989. Vol. 89 pages 5778-5780, see entire document.   | 1-20                  |
| Y         | TERRY, M.J. et al. Perspectives in Biochemistry and Biophysics. October 1993. Vol. 306. No. 1. pages 1-15, see entire document.                 | 1-20                  |

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  See patent family annex.

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| * Special categories of cited documents: | "T"  | later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  |
| *'A'                                     | document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance   |  |
| *'B'                                     | earlier document published on or after the international filing date   | "X"  |
| *'L'                                     | document which may throw doubt on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) | document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone   |
| *'O'                                     | document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means   | "Y"  |
| *'P'                                     | document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed   | document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art |
|  | "g."   | document member of the same patent family  |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Date of the actual completion of the international search<br><br>08 OCTOBER 1997  | Date of mailing of the international search report<br><br>30 OCT 1997         |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/US<br>Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks<br>Box PCT<br>Washington, D.C. 20231<br>Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 | Authorized officer<br><br>SUSAN A. LORING<br><br>Telephone No. (703) 308-0196 |

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**International application No.  
PCT/US97/13529**Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)**

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1.  Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2.  Claims Nos.: 21-27 because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  
  
Claims are drawn to a composition which depends from claim 13. Claim 13 is a method claim. Claims are deemed improperly dependent.
3.  Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

**Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)**

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1.  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

## Remark on Protest

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.



Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets

(11) Veröffentlichungsnummer:  
(11) Publication number:  
(11) Numéro de publication:

**0 916 085**

Internationale Anmeldung veröffentlicht durch die  
Weltorganisation für geistiges Eigentum unter der Nummer:  
**WO 98/05944** (art.158 des EPÜ).

International application published by the World  
Intellectual Property Organisation under number:

**WO 98/05944** (art.158 of the EPC).

Demande internationale publiée par l'Organisation  
Mondiale de la Propriété sous le numéro:

**WO 98/05944** (art.158 de la CBE).